FAD 17 (24389)

IS 11538 : 2024

***भारतीय मानक***

**खेत के जल निकासी पम्पिंग संयत्र के संस्थापन और डिज़ाइन — रीति संहिता**

*( पहला पुनरीक्षण )*

**Indian Standard**

**Design and Installation of**

**Farm Drainage Pumping Plants — Code of Practice**

*( First Revision )*

**ICS 65.040.35**

© BIS 2024

**B U R E A U O F I N D I A N S T A N D A R D S**

MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG

NEW DELHI 110002

*June*, 2024 **Price Group**

Farm Irrigation and Drainage Systems Sectional Committee, FAD 17

FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (First Revision) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards, after the draft finalized by the Farm Irrigation and Drainage Systems Sectional Committee had been approved by the Food and Agriculture Division Council.

This standard was first published in 1986 to provide principles and practices useful to engineers in the planning and design of pumping plants for drainage of farmland.In preparation of this standard, assistance was taken from ASAE EP 369-1974 ‘Design of agricultural drainage pumping plants,’ issued by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers, USA.

The revision of this standard has been brought out to update the standard with current technological practices and the following major modifications have been made:

1. Different types of pumps which may be used in the pumping plants have been incorporated;
2. For safe and efficient working of pumping plant, guidelines for usage of sensors and automatic shutoff mechanism along with methods of flow measurement have been added;
3. Record keeping for operation and maintenance of pumping plant has also been added; and
4. Necessary editorial changes have been made including updating of referred Indian Standards and schematic diagrams given in the standard.

In revising the standard considerable assistance has been derived from the inputs provided by Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.

The composition of the Committee responsible for the formulation of this standard is given in Annex A.

For the purpose of deciding whether a particular requirement of this standard is complied with, the final value, observed or calculated, expressing the result of a test or analysis, shall be rounded off in accordance with
IS 2 : 2022 ‘Rules for rounding off numerical values (*second revision*)’. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

*Indian Standard*

DESIGN AND INSTALLATION OF FARM DRAINAGE PUMPING PLANTS — CODE OF PRACTICE

 *( First Revision )*

**1 SCOPE**

This standard covers the guidelines for design and installation of pumping plants for farm drainage purposes.

NOTE — This standard does not cover the pumping plant for deep well drainage.

**2 REFERENCES**

The standards listed A contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of these standard.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**3 CLASSIFICATION OF WATER STORAGE SYSTEM**

Water storage system for pumping plants shall be classified as follows:

1. Open storage tank — for collecting and temporarily storing both surface and ground water; and
2. Sumps — for storing ground water only.

NOTE — Under the monsoonic rainfall situation, surface drainage component would be much more than the subsurface one. On the other hand, during post monsoon period, pumping requirement would essentially consist of subsurface component and capacity of pumps would be much lower. A drainage pumping plant under such situation would require design of two pumping plants; larger one to meet monsoon requirement and smaller one for post monsoon period.

**4 PLANNING OF AREA TO BE PUMP DRAINED**

**4.1** The drainage system of the area served by the pumping plants should be planned to meet both drainage needs of the area as well as efficient operation of the pumps.

**4.1.1** Runoff from high ground, when removable by gravity flow to a suitable outlet, should be excluded from the pumped area by diversion around the area or by channeling through the area.

**4.1.2** Protection of pumped area against overflow or back water from the outlet should be provided by perimeter dikes designed against overtopping, wave action, erosion and instability of high water stages. Direct disposal of stored water by gravity in the storage reservoir could be made by the pipe outlets through the embankment. These outlets should be protected by gates to prevent backflow during the high exterior water stages and permit outflow during low water stages. This would reduce load on pumping system.

**4.1.3** The drainage system of the pumped area should provide the following:

1. An optimum storage tank/sump water stage commensurate with the designed depth of water table;
2. In case of surface drainage system, use of undeveloped swamp or lowland near or adjoining the pumping plant to supplement tank/sump water storage for reduction of the pumping rate; and
3. Drainage channels discharging water to the water tank/sump should be suitably designed to avoid channel scouring.

**4.1.4** Pumping plant location should be at or near the point of lowest elevation of the pumped area and accessible to an adjacent outlet. Site adjustments should be made as necessary to provide foundations without excessive use of piling, freedom from flooding of operating equipment, ready access to fuel or power supply and protection from vandalism.

**4.2** The outlet channel from the pumped area shall be adequate for handling the discharge. If it is to discharge in an irrigation canal system, the applicable statutory requirements should be followed.

**5 PUMPING PLANT CAPACITY**

**5.1** The pumping rate and frequency should be determined from the drainage requirement and temporary storage.

**5.1.1** The drainage requirement would vary with climate, topography, soils, and land use, types of crops and cost effectiveness of the system. Such requirements may be expressed as a coefficient or quantity of water to be removed per unit of area per unit of time. Drainage coefficient should be computed on the basis of local data
(*see* also **4** of IS 10907).

**5.1.2** Pumping plant capacity for surface and/or subsurface drainage should be based on surface and/or subsurface drainage designed coefficients respectively.

**6 PUMP SELECTION AND INSTALLATION**

**6.1** The selection of the drainage pump would usually be based on designed discharge and total head as well as type of power available.

**6.2** Pump performance varies with head, speed, discharge and power relationships. The effect of these factors on efficiency of the pumping operation may be obtained from pump manufacturer’s performance curves or pump characteristics for each kind and size of pump made.

Cavitation is caused as a result of absolute pressure dropping below the vapour pressure of the liquid which passes through suction and impeller inlet. It results in pump vibration and noise, reduces pump discharge and efficiency and causes pump deterioration. Performance curves are useful for guidance in making proper selection and to ensure proper operation without cavitation. These curves would help to avoid the following at the time of pump selection:

1. Heads much higher or lower than those at optimum efficiency;
2. Capacities much lower than capacity at peak efficiency;
3. Suction lifts abnormally higher, or net positive suction heads (NPSH) lower than the rated for the pump; and
4. Speeds higher than those recommended for the pump.

**6.3** Total head (*Ht*) is sum of static head (*H*), velocity head (*Hv*) and friction head (*Ht*) and is total energy that a pump should impart at designed discharge.

**6.3.1** Static head or lift, is the vertical distance between the free water surface at the suction side of the pump and the free water surface at the discharge pipe side of the pump when discharge pipe is submerged, or at the centre of the discharge pipe when discharge pipe is not submerged. For the purpose of designing, *H* is to be considered from the lowest level on the suction side to the highest water level on the discharge pipe side.

**6.3.2** Velocity head (*Hv*) in m, for various pump sizes and capacities can be calculated as follows:

where

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *V*  | = | column velocity at discharge end, m/s; |
| *Q*  | = | pump capacity, m3/s; |
| *g* | = | acceleration due to gravity; and |
| *D* | = | Diameter. |

**6.3.3** When water or any other liquid moves through a pipe line, the latter offers some resistance to the flow. This resistance depends on the diameter, length, type and condition of the pipe and its fittings and velocity of flow. The values of head loss due to friction in pipes may be obtained on the basis of IS 2951 (Part 1). Head loss due to friction for PVC pipes may be obtained from the supplier.

When calculating pipe losses allowances should be included for tees, bends, valves, and other fittings and obstructions in a particular pipe line. The values can be obtained from IS 2951 (Part 2) and added to the value obtained for straight pipes (*see* **6.3.3**).

**6.4** Size of pump (diameter of the discharge pipe at the pump outlet) can be calculated on the basis of the following formula:

where

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *D* | = | size of the pump, m; |
| *Q*  | = | pump capacity, m3/s; and |
| *V* | = | column velocity, m/s. |

The column velocity of a pump may range between 2.0 m/s to 3.7 m/s, however 3 m/s may be used as an initial estimate in developing the pumping plant design.

**6.5** The discharge pipe should be of adequate capacity and water tight.

**6.5.1** The discharge pipe may be gradually enlarged away from the pump by several pipe sizes to decrease velocity head and thereby decrease the power cost.

NOTE — The friction losses in the discharge pipe can be reduced greatly by use of larger diameter pipe, usually 5 cm to 15 cm larger than the pump discharge flange. The transition can be made through a short expanding section of pipe at the pump flange, usually over a length of 60 cm (*see* Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Diagram Indicating Use of Larger Pipe to Reduce Friction Loss

**6.5.2** Submerged discharges are recommended to keep heads as low as possible. A non-return or flap valve should be used at the pipe end to prevent backflow through the pump. Erosion Protection from discharging water should be considered when out letting into an earthen channel.

**6.5.3** A siphon may be used whenever drainage water is pumped over a dike for both reductions in total head and reduction in power cost.

**6.5.3.1** Priming head shall be greater than operating head. The pump should be able to deliver a full cross section of water over the crest at a velocity over 1.5 m/s. However, a limit on maximum lift of the siphon and maximum velocity of flow at the crest should be maintained to prevent reducing inside pressure at the crest to avoid cavitation. Discharge pipe should be sufficiently submerged to prevent air entrainment. The pipe section at the crest should have sufficient strength to resist external atmospheric pressure.

**6.5.3.2** A siphon breaker shall be installed at the highest point in pipeline to prevent reverse flow during a power interruption (*see* Fig. 2). The siphon breaker would be actuated when power stops or flow reverses. The non-return flap valve at the end of the discharge pipe may serve in lieu of a siphon breaker for small pumping plants.

**6.5.3.3** An air release valve is required to ensure smooth priming of the siphon. This is preferably installed at the top of the bend outlet of the discharge pipe and near the water surface.

**6.6** Typical drainage pump installations and head relationships that should be considered in their design is shown in Fig. 2. For installation, guidance may be taken from IS 9694 (Part 2).



Fig. 2 Typical Drainage Pump Installation — *Contd.*

**7 STORAGE**

**7.1** The volume of drainage runoff to be stored in the tank/sump and auxiliary areas will vary from a maximum expected total runoff of the designed storm to a minimum set by the pumping rate that would prevent excessive numbers of pump starts and stops by the power source.

**7.2** For manual operation of the pumps, pump starts may be limited to two per day for convenience of the operator. The volume of storage for two starts per day should be calculated on the basis of following formula:

*S =* 11235*Q*

where

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *S* | = | volume of storage, m3; and |
| *Q* | = | pump capacity, m3/s. |

**7.3** For automatic operation of pumps, cycles of operation should be limited to about 10 per h. This occurs when inflow is equal to one-half of the pump capacity. Minimum storage may be calculated as follows:

*S =* 90*Q*

where

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *S* | = | volume of storage, m3; and |
| *Q*  | = | pump capacity, m3/s. |

**8 TANK/SUMP DESIGN**

**8.1** The shape, size and position of the storage tank/sump with respect to the pump affect the efficiency of the pumping operation.

**8.2** Efficient pump operation requires special sump dimensions and pump clearance for smooth free flow of water in the suction intake pipe. Essential clearances are shown in Fig. 3. Sump water level should be maintained above a minimum submergence of the pump suction intake and is determined by the greater of the following independent conditions:

1. Water cover over the propeller should be sufficient to keep the pump self-priming;
2. Net positive suction head (NPSH) should be as determined by the pump manufacturer to overcome cavitation; and
3. Submergence, as usually recommended in manufacturers’ literature for vortex suppression, determined approximately from the following formula, is maintained:

where

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *K*  | = | minimum submergence, m; |
| *Q* | = | pump capacity, m3/s; and |
| *d*  | *=* | pump suction bell diameter, m. |

1. Water storage capacity should be sufficient to prevent too frequent pump starts and stops.

**8.2.1** Sump dimensions for automatic operation can be varied to fit field condition and be in line with economical installation. Generally, the sump should not be deep but large and shallow. For efficient automatic operation, storage depth of sump of 0.7 to 1.0 m below the bottom of the pump is recommended. Greater sump storage depth usually adds to both installation and operation costs of the pumping plant. In some soils, wide and frequent fluctuations in water depth cause serious bank sloughing and channel erosion.

**8.2.2** In case of large circular sump, rotational effect can be minimized by placing the pump near the wall.

**8.2.3** Floor of the approach channel and sump should be level for a distance of 5 times of diameters of the suction bell measured from the centerline of the pump. Approach velocity to the pump should be kept to 0.3 m/s unless the sump configuration has been checked for eddy currents by the manufacturer. Trash rack openings below minimum static water level should permit water passage at designed velocity.

**8.2.4** Side wall clearance of pumps should not be less than 24 times the diameter of the suction bell.

**8.2.5** Minimum clearance between adjacent pump centerlines should be the sum of the diameters of the suction bells.

**8.2.6** Back wall clearance of pumps may be reduced to that necessary for maintaining the pumps.

**8.2.7** Where ditch storage is provided, size of culvert inlet passing water to the sump should be sufficient to handle the maximum pump discharge at a velocity not exceeding 0.6 m/s.

**8.2.8** Drain tile outlets discharging into a sump should be located as far from the pump as possible so as to minimize effect of turbulence and air entrainment.



Fig. 2 Typical Drainage Pump Installation



Fig. 3 Recommended Sump Clearances

**9 PUMPS**

The selection of the appropriate pump depends on factors such as the depth of the sump or tank, required flow rate, power source availability, and specific farm drainage needs. It's essential to choose a pump that matches the intended application to ensure efficient water lifting and optimal agricultural productivity. For lifting farm drainage water from a sump or tank, several types of pumps can be used, depending on the specific requirements and conditions of the farm. Here are some common pumps used for this purpose.

**9.1** **Submersible Pumps**

Submersible pumps are designed to be submerged in water and are ideal for lifting water from sumps or tanks. They are efficient and require minimal priming. Submersible pumps are commonly used in both agricultural and domestic applications. For selection, installation of submersible pumps, guidance can be taken from IS 14536 and IS 8034.

**9.2 Centrifugal Pumps**

Centrifugal pumps are widely used in agriculture for various purposes, including lifting water from sumps and tanks. They work by converting rotational energy from a motor into kinetic energy to move water.

**9.3 Diaphragm Pumps**

Diaphragm pumps use a flexible diaphragm to move water, making them suitable for lifting water with solids or chemicals from sumps or tanks. They can handle some level of debris without clogging.

**9.4 Self-Priming Pumps**

Self-priming pumps are capable of drawing water into the pump casing without the need for external priming. They are ideal for applications where the pump may be above the water level, such as lifting water from a shallow sump or tank.

**9.5 Diesel Engine Pumps**

Diesel engine pumps are used in areas without access to electricity. They can provide significant water flow rates and are suitable for lifting water from sumps or tanks in remote locations.

**9.6 Solar-Powered Pumps**

Solar-powered pumps are increasingly popular for their renewable energy source. They can be used to lift water from sumps or tanks, especially in areas with ample sunlight and a need for sustainable solutions [*see* IS 17018 (Part 1)].

**10 POWER SOURCE**

**10.1** Driving units for pump may be electric motors, diesel, petrol or gas fueled engines. Electric motors provide an economical installation when an adequate and reliable source of electric power is available close to the site at reasonable cost. Internal combustion engines should be used when the source of electric power is not reliable or is too costly. Use of alternate sources of energy like wind mills and solar energy should be considered wherever feasible.

**10.2** Electric power is convenient for pump drainage operation and easily adapted to automatic operation. Motors and controls should conform to requirements of the relevant Indian Standards.

**10.3** Diesel and petrol engines may be adapted for variation in speed over a broad range and are limited only by the range of critical speeds which produce dangerous torsional vibrations.

**11 DRIVE HEADS**

**11.1** Drive heads are required to connect the power source to the pump. Loss of efficiency through these units range from none in direct connections to about 5 percent in gear connections, and up to 10 percent in multiple belt connections. Proper direction of pump rotation should be maintained in all drive applications.

**11.1.1** Direct connected hollow shaft electric motors are used in a vertical position.

**11.1.2** A right angle gear drive is required to connect internal combustion engines to vertical pumps.

**11.1.3** Multiple V-belt heads and flat pulley heads are suited to small pump installations.

**12 SENSORS AND AUTOMATIC PUMP SHUTDOWN**

The purpose of this clause is to ensure the safe and efficient operation of the pump while protecting it from potential damage due to water overflow or dry running. Adherence to these guidelines will help maintain the longevity of the pump and ensure optimal performance in farm drainage systems.

* 1. **Sensor Installation**

The pump shall be equipped with water level sensors installed in the sump or tank from where water is drawn. These sensors shall accurately measure the water level at predetermined intervals or in real-time.

* 1. **Sensor Calibration and Maintenance**

The water level sensors shall be calibrated regularly to ensure accurate readings. Maintenance and inspection of the sensors shall be conducted as per the manufacturer's recommendations to ensure their proper functioning.

* 1. **Water Level Thresholds**

Specific water level thresholds shall be defined based on the pump's safe operating range and the minimum required water level for efficient pumping. Two critical thresholds shall be established:

1. ‘High-level’ threshold — When the water level rises above this threshold, indicating the sump is near capacity; and
2. ‘Low-level’ threshold — When the water level falls below this threshold, indicating a potential risk of pump damage due to running dry.
	1. **Pump Shutdown Logic**

The pump control system shall be programmed with a logical sequence for automatic shutdown based on the water level readings from the sensors:

1. If the water level exceeds the ‘High-level’ threshold, the pump shall automatically shut down to prevent overflow and potential damage to the pump and surrounding infrastructure; and
2. If the water level falls below the ‘Low-level’ threshold, the pump shall automatically shut down to prevent dry running, which can lead to pump damage and reduced efficiency.
	1. **Alarm and Notification System**

The automatic shutdown system shall be integrated with an alarm and notification mechanism to alert the operator or farm manager of any abnormal water level conditions. This notification system can be in the form of visual alerts, audible alarms, or remote monitoring via a mobile app or web interface.

* 1. **Restart Delay and Safety Checks**

After the automatic shutdown is triggered, the pump shall not restart immediately. A predefined restart delay shall be implemented to allow time for the water level to stabilize. Additionally, safety checks shall be conducted before restarting the pump to ensure the sump conditions are safe and within the operational parameters.

* 1. **Manual Override**

The pump control system shall include a manual override option to allow authorized personnel to start or stop the pump independently, bypassing the automatic sensor-based shutdown when necessary.

**13 SAFETY REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTOMATIC OPERATION**

**13.1** Automatic operation is used primarily with electrical power sources. Points to be considered in applying such equipment are given below:

1. Evaluation of added installation and maintenance costs against advantages sought;
2. Possible lack of interest on the part of owner or operator if operation is too much automated;
3. Controls compatible with State Electricity rules;
4. Possibility of moisture getting into or submergence of controls;
5. Hazards of winter operation in cold climates; and
6. Greater requirement of operator vigilance and maintenance including lubrication and trash rack cleaning.

**13.2** Automatic controls are available for internal combustion engines such as an electric starting system for air cooled engines. Such systems are not readily adaptable to most pump installations.

**13.3** Protective controls shall be supplied for all installations.

**13.3.1** Automatic or electric units should have safety shut-off against overload, low voltage, and excessive heating.

**13.3.2** Internal combustion engines should have controls which protect against overheating, low oil pressure and excessive speed.

**13.3.3** Auxiliary warning devices, such as signal lights and siren on the pump house should be provided for attention of the owner or operator.

**14 HOUSING**

**14.1** Type of housing for pumping plants depends upon importance and size of the plant, type of power used and plant location.

**14.1.1** Factors influencing need for housing are air temperature, wind, humidity, precipitation and flooding fuel storage, safety, vandalism and plant appearance.

**14.1.2** Materials used for housing should be fire resistant, waterproof, durable and easily maintained.

**14.1.3** Size of housing should be sufficient for the containment and servicing of the equipment. Provision should be made for removing the pumps when required.

**15 TRASH RACKS**

**15.1** Trash racks should be provided to prevent entry of floating debris/trash into sumps where damage to pumps might otherwise occur.

**15.1.1** Trash racks should be set not less than 24 times the suction bell diameter in front of the centerline of the pumps.

**15.1.2** Velocity of flow through the trash rack should not exceed 0.6 m/s.

**15.1.3** Recommended trash rack bar spacing is as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Sl No.* | *Pump Diameter, mm* | *Bar Spacing, mm* |
|  |  |  |
|  | Up to 400 | 20 |
|  | 450 to 600 | 25 to 38 |
|  | 760 to 1 060 | 50 |
|  | 1 060 and above | 65 to 75 |

**15.1.4** Trash racks should be sloped so that cleaning by hand is easily done or they should be equipped with mechanical cleaner.

**15.1.5** Where trash racks are not feasible, galvanized basket strainers may be used on small pumps to prevent entry of small gravel and debris.

**16 RECORD KEEPING**

**16.1.1** The purpose of recording data is to track operational information that will identify and avoid duplicating optimum operating conditions.

**16.1.2** A record of equipment performance and repairs allow O&M personnel to properly evaluate equipment’s effectiveness and determine if the equipment meets the objectives to justify its purchase and installation.

**16.1.3** As a minimum, the following basic information should be maintained for each equipment in the pumping station:

1. Plant equipment identification number;
2. Manufacturer;
3. Model number and serial number;
4. Type;
5. Dates of installation and removal from service;
6. Reasons for removal;
7. Location when installed;
8. Calibration data and procedures;
9. Hours required to perform maintenance;
10. Cost of replacement parts’
11. O&M manuals, references and their locations; and
12. Apparatus failure history Inspection reports should be prepared for each sewage pumping station according to the equipment installed.

**17 FLOW MEASUREMENT**

Flow measurement for farm drainage pumping plants involves determining the volume of water passing through the system per unit of time. Accurate flow measurement is essential for efficient water management, crop irrigation, and drainage control. Several methods are commonly used for flow measurement in farm drainage pumping plants.

**17.1 Water Meters**

There are various types of water meters, including:

**17.1.1** *Mechanical Water Meters*

These traditional meters use mechanical components, such as gears and turbines, to measure water flow. They are widely used in residential and commercial settings.

**17.1.2** *Ultrasonic Water Meters*

These meters use ultrasonic technology to measure flow velocity and calculate water volume accurately. They are more advanced and suitable for various applications, including smart metering.

**17.1.3** *Magnetic Water Meters*

Magnetic flow meters use Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction to measure the volume of conductive fluids like water.

**17.2 Open Channel Flow Measurement**

**17.2.1** *Weirs*

V-notch weirs and rectangular weirs are common devices used to measure flow in open channels. The water level over the weir correlates with the flow rate.

**17.2.2***Flumes*

Parshall flumes and Palmer-Bowlus flumes are structures placed in open channels to measure flow rates based on the water level and the geometry of the flume.

**17.2.3** *Turbine Flow Meters*

Turbine flow meters have a rotor with blades that rotate as the fluid flows through the meter. The rotational speed of the blades is proportional to the flow rate, enabling flow measurement.

**17.2.4** *Water Level Sensors*

Pressure transducers or ultrasonic level sensors can be used to measure the water level in tanks, sumps, or channels. By combining water level data with channel dimensions, the flow rate can be calculated.

**17.2.5** *Velocity-Area Method*

In open channels, the flow rate can be estimated using the velocity-area method. This involves measuring the cross-sectional area of the channel and the velocity of the water.

**ANNEX A**

(*Clause* 2)

**LIST OF REFERRED STANDARDS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *IS No.* | *Title* |
|  |  |
| (Part 1) : 1965 | Head loss in straight pipes due to frictional resistance |
|  |  |
| IS 8034 : 2018 | Submersible pumpsets — Specification (*third revision*) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| IS 9694 (Part 2) : 2023 | Selection, installation, operation and maintenance of horizontal centrifugal pumps for agriculture application — Code of practice: Part 2 Installation (*first revision*) |
|  |  |
| IS 10907 : 1984 | Code for design of farm drainage tile or pipe system |
|   |  |
| IS 17018 (Part 1) : 2022 | Solar photovoltaic water pumping systems Part 1 Centrifugal pumps — specification (*first revision*) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**ANNEX B**

(*Foreword*)

**COMMITTEE COMPOSITION**

Farm Irrigation and Drainage Systems Sectional Committee, FAD 17

| *Organization* | *Representative(s)* |
| --- | --- |
| In Personal Capacity (*D-26, Pusa Campus, Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi - 110012*) | Dr T. B. S. Rajput **(*Chairperson*)** |
| Automat Industries Private Limited, New Delhi  | Shri Dinesh KumarShri Nawal Kishore Shah (*Alternate*)  |
| CIPET, Chennai | Dr Sandesh Kumar JainShri Vishal Verma (*Alternate*)  |
| Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan  | Prof Rajeshwar Singh Chandel Shri Sudhir Verma (*Alternate*)  |
| Finolex Plasson Industries Limited, Pune  | Shri Vijay Jadhav Shri Bajirao Bhosale (*Alternate*)  |
| Gujarat Green Revolution Company Limited, Vadodara  | Dr Ashutosh Vasant Vadawale Shri R. V. Limbashia (*Alternate* I) Shri Hardik Pancholi (*Alternate* II)  |
| ICAR - Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Bhopal  | Dr Ranjay Kumar Singh Dr Yogesh A. Rajwade (*Alternate*)  |
| ICAR - Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal | Dr Devendra Singh BundelaDr Satyendra Kumar (*Alternate*)  |
| Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi  | Dr Kondapally V. Ramanarao |
| Jain Irrigation Systems Limited, Jalgaon | Shri Sunil Lodha Shri Abhijeet B. Joshi (*Alternate*)  |
| Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri  | Dr Sachin kumar Nandgude Dr Shrimant Rahod (*Alternate*)  |
| Mahindra EPC Irrigation Limited, Nashik  | Shri Rajeev Deshpande Shri Ashish Kumar (*Alternate*)  |
| National Committee on Precision Agriculture and Horticulture, New Delhi  | Shri Anand Zambre Shri Rohit Lall (*Alternate*)  |
| Netafim Irrigation Private Limited, Vadodara | Shri Sethuramalingam S.Shri Manish Kumar Patel (*Alternate*)  |
| Nimbus Pipes Limited, Jaipur | Shri Ashish Kumar Lath Shri Haridwar Tiwari (*Alternate*)  |
| NITI Aayog, New Delhi | Dr Neelam Patel Shrimati Anuradha Batana (*Alternate*)  |
| Premier Irrigation Adritec Limited, Nagpur | Shri A. K. Pradhan Shri G. K. Kumar (*Alternate*)  |
| Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana | Dr J. P. SinghDr Sunil Garg (*Alternate*)  |
| Reliance Industries Limited, Mumbai | Shri Amit Shah |
| Rivulis Irrigation India Private Limited, Vadodara | Shri Gopi Kethavath |
| Saurashtra Plastics Manufacturer's Association, Rajkot | Shri Arun RokadShri J. K. Patel (*Alternate* I) Shri Bharat Kumar V. Siroya (*Alternate* II)  |
| School of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi | Dr Mukesh Kumar |
| Visvesvaraya Technological University (VTU), Belgavi | Dr Nagraj S. Patil |
| Water Technology Centre, ICAR - Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi  | Dr Anil Kumar Mishra Dr Susama Sudhishri (*Alternate*)  |
| BIS Directorate General | Shrimati Suneeti Toteja Scientist ‘E’/Director and Head (Food and Agriculture) [Representing Director General (*Ex-officio*)] |

*Member Secretary*

Shri Vikrant Chauhan

Scientist ‘B’/Assistant Director

(Food and Agriculture), BIS

#### Panel to Review Indian Standards on Water quality and Drainage Systems, FAD 17/P 3

| *Organization* | *Representative(s)* |
| --- | --- |
| Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana | Dr J. P. Singh **(*Convener*)**Dr Sunil Garg (*Alternate*)  |
|  |  |
| ICAR - Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Bhopal | Dr K.V. Ramana Rao |
| ICAR - Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal | Dr Devendra Singh Bundela |
| Haryana Operational Pilot Project, Haryana | Dr Mohanlal Gupta |
| Dandekar Brothers LLP (Former Director of Rex Poly Extrusion) | Shri C.B. Dandekar |
| University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad | Dr MS Shirahatti |
|  |  |
| In Personal Capacity (*Former Additional Director, WDSC, Govt of Rajasthan*) | Dr C. M. Tejawat |
|  |  |