भारतीय मानक Indian Standard

 IS 18430 (Part 29) : 2024 ISO 16610-29 : 2020

ज्यामितीय उत्पाद मिमिमि (जीपीएस) *—* **मिस्पंदि भाग 29रैमिक प्रोफाइल मफल्टर** *—* **तरंमगकाएँ**

Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) — Filtration

Part 29 Linear Profile Filters — Wavelets

ICS 17.040.20

 BIS 2024 © ISO 2020

[भारती](http://www.bis.org.in/)य [मानक ब्यरोू](http://www.standardsbis.in/) BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110002 MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG NEW DELHI - 110002 www.bis.gov.in www.standardsbis.in

May 2024 Price Group 10

NATIONAL FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (Part 29) which is identical to ISO 16610-29 : 2020 'Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Filtration — Part 29: Linear profile filters — Wavelets' issued by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on recommendation of the Engineering Metrology Sectional Committee and approval of the Production and General Engineering Division Council.

This standard develops the terminology and concepts for wavelets.

This standard has been published in several parts. Other parts in this series are:

- Part 1 Overview and basic concepts
- Part 20 Linear profile filters: Basic concepts
- Part 21 Linear profile filters: Gaussian filters
- Part 22 Linear profile filters: Spline filters
- Part 28 Profile filters: End effects
- Part 30 Robust profile filters: Basic concepts
- Part 31 Robust profile filters: Gaussian regression filters
- Part 32 Robust profile filters: Spline filters
- Part 40 Morphological profile filters: Basic concepts
- Part 41 Morphological profile filters: Disk and horizontal line-segment filters
- Part 49 Morphological profile filters: Scale space techniques
- Part 60 Linear areal filters: Basic concepts
- Part 61 Linear areal filters: Gaussian filters
- Part 62 Linear areal filters: Spline filters
- Part 71 Robust areal filters: Gaussian regression filters
- Part 85 Morphological areal filters: Segmentation

The text of ISO standard has been approved as suitable for publication as an Indian Standard without deviations. Certain conventions are, however, not identical to those used in Indian Standards. Attention is particularly drawn to the following:

- a) Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear referring to this standard, they should be read as 'Indian Standard'; and
- b) Comma (,) has been used as a decimal marker while in Indian Standards, the current practice is to use a point (.) as the decimal marker.

In this adopted standard, references appear to certain International Standards for which Indian Standards also exist. The corresponding Indian Standards, which are to be substituted in their respective places, are listed below along with their degree of equivalence for the editions indicated:

Contents

Page

Introduction

This document is a geometrical product specification (GPS) standard and is to be regarded as a general GPS standard (see ISO 14638). It influences chain links C and F of the chains of standards on profile and areal surface texture.

The ISO GPS matrix model given in ISO 14638 gives an overview of the ISO GPS system of which this document is a part. The fundamental rules of ISO GPS given in ISO 8015 apply to this document and the default decision rules given in ISO 14253-1 apply to the specifications made in accordance with this document, unless otherwise indicated.

For more detailed information on the relation of this document to other standards and the GPS matrix model, see [Annex](#page-22-1) D.

This document develops the terminology and concepts for wavelets.

Indian Standard

GEOMETRICAL PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS (GPS) — FILTRATION

PART 29 LINEAR PROFILE FILTERS — WAVELETS

1 Scope

This document specifies biorthogonal wavelets for profiles and contains the relevant concepts. It gives the basic terminology for biorthogonal wavelets of compact support, together with their usage.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 16610-1, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Filtration — Part 1: Overview and basic concepts*

ISO 16610-20, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Filtration — Part 20: Linear profile filters: Basic concepts*

ISO 16610-22, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Filtration — Part 22: Linear profile filters: Spline filters*

ISO/IEC Guide 99, *International vocabulary of metrology — Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 16610-1, ISO 16610-20, ISO 16610-22 and ISO/IEC Guide 99 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at [https://www.iso.org/obp](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui)
- IEC Electropedia: available at<http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

mother wavelet

function of one or more variables which forms the basic building block for wavelet analysis, i.e. an expansion of a signal/profile as a linear combination of wavelets

Note 1 to entry: A mother wavelet, which usually integrates to zero, is localized in space and has a finite bandwidth. [Figure](#page-5-0) 1 provides an example of a real-valued mother wavelet.

3.1.1

biorthogonal wavelet

wavelet where the associated *wavelet transform* ([3.3](#page-5-1)) is invertible but not necessarily orthogonal

Note 1 to entry: The merit of the biorthogonal wavelet is the possibility to construct symmetric wavelet functions, which allows a linear phase filter.

Figure 1 — Example of a real-valued mother wavelet

3.2 wavelet family

 $g_{\alpha, b}$

family of functions generated from the *mother wavelet* ([3.1](#page-4-1)) by *dilation* [\(3.2.1\)](#page-5-2) and *translation* [\(3.2.2\)](#page-5-3)

Note 1 to entry: If $g(x)$ is the *mother wavelet* ([3.1](#page-4-1)), then the wavelet family $g_{\alpha,b}(x)$ is generated as shown in [Formula](#page-5-4) (1):

$$
g_{\alpha,b}(x) = \alpha^{-0.5} \times g\left(\frac{x-b}{\alpha}\right) \tag{1}
$$

where

- *α* is the dilation parameter for the wavelet of frequency band [1/*α*, 2/*α*];
- *b* is the translation parameter.

3.2.1

dilation

<wavelet> transformation which scales the spatial variable *x* by a factor *α*

Note 1 to entry: This transformation takes the function $g(x)$ to $\alpha^{-0.5}g(x/\alpha)$ for an arbitrary positive real number α .

Note 2 to entry: The factor $\alpha^{-0.5}$ keeps the area under the function constant.

3.2.2

translation

transformation which shifts the spatial position of a function by a real number *b*

Note 1 to entry: This transformation takes the function $g(x)$ to $g(x - b)$ for an arbitrary real number *b*.

3.3

wavelet transform

unique decomposition of a profile into a linear combination of a *wavelet family* ([3.2](#page-5-5))

3.4

discrete wavelet transform

DWT

unique decomposition of a profile into a linear combination of a *wavelet family* ([3.2](#page-5-5)) where the *translation* [\(3.2.2](#page-5-3)) parameters are integers and the *dilation* [\(3.2.1](#page-5-2)) parameters are powers of a fixed positive integer greater than 1

Note 1 to entry: The dilation parameters are usually powers of 2.

3.5

multiresolution analysis

decomposition of a profile by a filter bank into portions of different scales

Note 1 to entry: The portions at different scales are also referred to as resolutions (see ISO 16610-20).

Note 2 to entry: Multiresolution is also called multiscale.

Note 3 to entry: See [Figure](#page-8-1) 2.

Note 4 to entry: Since by definition there is no loss of information, it is possible to reconstruct the original profile from the *multiresolution ladder structure* [\(3.5.3\)](#page-6-0).

3.5.1 low-pass component

smoothing component

component of the *multiresolution analysis* ([3.5](#page-6-1)) obtained after convolution with a smoothing filter (lowpass) and a *decimation* ([3.5.6](#page-6-2))

3.5.2 high-pass component difference component

component of the *multiresolution analysis* [\(3.5](#page-6-1)) obtained after convolution with a difference filter (highpass) and a *decimation* ([3.5.6](#page-6-2))

Note 1 to entry: The weighting function of the difference filter is defined by the wavelet from a particular family of wavelets, with a particular *dilation* ([3.2.1](#page-5-2)) parameter and no *translation* [\(3.2.2\)](#page-5-3).

Note 2 to entry: The filter coefficients require the evaluation of an integral over a continuous space unless there exists a complementary function to form the basis expanding the signal/profile.

3.5.3

multiresolution ladder structure

structure consisting of all the orders of the difference components and the highest order smooth component

3.5.4

scaling function

function which defines the weighting function of the smoothing filter used to obtain the smooth component

Note 1 to entry: In order to avoid loss of information on the *multiresolution ladder structure* ([3.5.3](#page-6-0)), the wavelet and scaling function are matched.

Note 2 to entry: The *low-pass component* ([3.5.1](#page-6-3)) is obtained by convolving the input data with the scaling function.

3.5.5

wavelet function

function which defines the weighting function of the difference filter used to obtain the detail component

Note 1 to entry: The *high-pass component* [\(3.5.2](#page-6-4)) is obtained by convolving the input data with the wavelet function.

3.5.6

decimation

<wavelet> action which samples every *k*-th point in a sampled profile, where *k* is a positive integer

Note 1 to entry: Typically, *k* is equal to 2.

3.6

lifting scheme

fast *wavelet transform* [\(3.3\)](#page-5-1) that uses *splitting*, *prediction* and *updating stages* ([3.6.1](#page-7-1)), ([3.6.2](#page-7-2)), ([3.6.3\)](#page-7-3)

3.6.1

splitting stage

partition of a profile into "even" and "odd" subsets, in which each sequence contains half as many samples as the original profile

3.6.2

prediction stage

calculation which predicts the odd subset from the even subset and then removes the predicted value from the odd subset value

3.6.3

updating stage

calculation which updates the even subset from the odd subset, in order to preserve as many profile moments as possible

4 General wavelet description

4.1 General

A cubic prediction wavelet claiming to conform with this document shall satisfy the procedure given in [Annex](#page-10-1) A.

A cubic spline wavelet claiming to conform with this document shall satisfy the procedure given in [Annex](#page-18-1) B.

NOTE The relationship to the filtration matrix model is given in [Annex](#page-21-1) C.

4.2 Basic usage of wavelets

Wavelet analysis consists of decomposing a profile into a linear combination of wavelets $g_{a,b}(x)$, all generated from a single mother wavelet^{[[4\]](#page-23-1)}. This is similar to Fourier analysis, which decomposes a profile into a linear combination of sinewaves, but unlike Fourier analysis, wavelets are finite in both spatial and frequency domain. Therefore, they can identify the location as well as the scale of a feature in a profile. As a result, they can decompose profiles where the small-scale structure in one portion of the profile is unrelated to the structure in a different portion, such as localized changes (i.e. scratches, defects or other irregularities). Wavelets are also ideally suited for non-stationary profiles. Basically, wavelets decompose a profile into building blocks of constant shape, but of different scales.

4.3 Wavelet transform

The discrete wavelet transform[[5\]](#page-23-2) of a profile, *s*(*x*), given as height values, *s*(*xi*), at uniformly sampled positions, $x_i = (i-1)$ Δ*x* (where Δ*x* is the sampling interval, $i = 1$, ..., *n* and *n* being the number of sampling points), with the wavelet function $g((x-b)/a)$, is given by the differences (or details), $d_k(i)$, and the smoothed data, $s_k(i)$, and a subsequent decimation (down-sampling) for each level or rung, k , of decomposition. The smoothed data and differences are obtained by convolving the signal with the scaling function, h , and the wavelet, g , as shown in [Formula](#page-7-4) $(2a)$ and Formula $(2b)$:

$$
s_k(i) = \sum_j h_j s_{k-1} (i-j)
$$
\n^(2a)

$$
d_k(i) = \sum_j g_j s_{k-1}(i-j)
$$
\n(2b)

where *j* = −*m*, ..., −2, −1, 0, 1, 2, ..., *m*; *(m* is the number of coefficients of the filter on one side from the centre).

The dilation parameter, *a*, is determined by the level of decomposition, *k*, and by down-sampling the smoothed data commonly by a factor of two, i.e. $a = 2^{-k}$, respectively. $a = 1/(2^k \Delta x)$, such that for each step of the decomposition ladder the number of smoothed data points reduces by a factor of two.

The decomposition starts with the original signal values, $s(x_i)$, denoted as $s_0(i)$.

The mother wavelet of the discrete wavelet transform is defined as a set of discrete high-pass filter coefficients, g_j and the scaling function as a set of discrete low-pass filter coefficients, h_j . As the decimation is carried out by keeping every second value of the smooth and every second of the difference signal, the total number of data points is conserved, such that $n/2$ of the $s_1(i)$ are saved and *n*/2 of the *d*₁(*i*) and the distance between the *i*-th and the (*i*+1)-th is then 2Δ*x*.

For the second decomposition step, the set of $n/2$ differences, $d_1(i)$, will be kept until termination but the set of the $s_1(i)$ is subdivided half and half, such that $n/4$ values $s_2(i)$ and $n/4$ values $d_2(i)$ are obtained. For the *k*-th step of decomposition and decimation $n/2^k$ of $s_k(i)$ and $n/2^k$ values $d_k(i)$ are evaluated and the distance between the *i*-th and the (*i*+1)-th is then 2*k*Δ*x*.

Therefore, the dilation is done by down-sampling, i.e. managing the indices of the signal rather than changing the wavelet and scaling functions. Thus, for discrete wavelet transformations only the two sets of filter coefficients, the set $\{h_j, j = -m,...,0,...m\}$ for the low-pass and $\{g_j, j = -m,...,0,...m\}$ for the highpass, define the analysis filter.

Figure 2 — Ladder structure of multiresolution separation using a discrete wavelet transform

[Figure](#page-8-1) 2 illustrates the ladder structure of the consecutive steps with action of the low-pass (smoothing) filter with subsequent decimation, $H_k = \{2^{-k}, h_j, j = -m, ..., 0, ..., m\}$, and the high-pass filter, $G_k = \{2^{-k}, g_j, j = -m, ..., 0, ..., m\}$, with decimation reducing the number of smooth profile points by half for each rung.

The reconstruction is performed by up-sampling and the subsequent application of the matching synthesis filters. The original profile can be regained if all difference signals are included to the recovery.

The multiresolution form of the wavelet transform consists of constructing a ladder of smooth approximations to the profile (see $Figure 2$ $Figure 2$). The first rung, i.e. rung number 0, is the original profile. Each rung in the ladder consists of a filter bank. To apply discrete wavelet transformations to the multiresolution concept in the sense of ISO 16610-20, a decomposition is performed until a desired level *k*, i.e. rung or resolution, is achieved. Then the signal is reconstructed without the details $d_1(i) ... d_k(i)$, i.e. the up-sampling is done for $s_k(i)$ and thereafter the convolution with the synthesis low-pass yielding the desired smoothed signal.

4.4 Biorthogonal wavelets

4.4.1 General

The application addressed with this document is to recognize features of differing scales (resolutions) by smoothing accordingly. The biorthogonal wavelets specified in this document are all symmetrical wavelets and the decomposed signal can be reconstructed without loss.

4.4.2 Cubic prediction wavelets

A fast implementation of the wavelet decomposition and reconstruction has been employed using a lifting scheme with three stages: splitting, prediction and updating, originally introduced by Sweldens, in which the Neville polynomials are employed to implement the prediction stage by interpolating between sampling positions^{[[6](#page-23-3),[7\]](#page-23-4)}. The cubic prediction wavelets in [Annex](#page-10-1) A using Sweldens' lifting schemel^[6](#page-23-3)] has been validated as an efficient tool for fast and in-place wavelet transform for geometrical products applications, for example surface metrology^{[[9](#page-23-5)]}.

4.4.3 Cubic b-spline wavelets

Spline wavelets are based on the spline function. In this document a cubic b-spline function is used, which has a compact support. The particular cubic spline wavelets used are the biorthogonal wavelets CDF 9/7 with four vanishing moments, detailed in [Annex](#page-18-1) B. This was original introduced by Cohen et al.^{[[8](#page-23-6)]} and has been used in geometrical products applications, for example multiscale analysis. The cubic spline wavelet transform can be implemented using both the Fourier method and the lifting scheme (however, it is a five-stage process) with relevant precision.

5 Filter designation

Lifting schemes using cubic interpolation for the wavelet transform in conformity with this document are designated:

FPLWCP

CDF 9/7 Spline wavelets in conformity with this document are designated:

FPLWCS

See also ISO 16610-1:2015, Clause 5.

Annex A

(normative)

Cubic prediction wavelets

A.1 General

The lifting scheme is used to define a fast in-place wavelet transform (see References [[7](#page-23-4)], [[9\]](#page-23-5)). Starting with the original profile, each rung in the multiresolution ladder is calculated from the previous rung in three stages. These stages are called:

- splitting;
- prediction;
- updating.

The lifting scheme using cubic polynomial interpolation for the prediction stage described in this annex was introduced by Sweldens in 1996^[2] for image processing purposes. Jiang et al. have applied the method to surface metrology^[2] (see <u>Figure A.1</u>).

Figure A.1 — Forward transform using the lifting scheme for wavelet defined in this annex

A.2 Splitting

The lifting algorithm of the wavelet transform first of all divides the smoothed profile from the *j*th rung, *Aj,k*, into "even" and "odd" subsets, in which each sequence contains half as many samples as *Aj,k*. The operator is given by **[Formula](#page-10-3)** $(A.1)$:

$$
\begin{cases} a_{j+1,k} = A_{j,2k} \\ d_{j+1,k} = A_{j,2k+1} \end{cases}
$$
 (A.1)

where $A_{0,k} = Z_k$, the original profile.

A.3 Prediction

The prediction of the wavelet algorithm consists of predicting the odd subset from the even subset and then removing the predicted value from the odd subset value. The operator is given by [Formula](#page-11-0) $(A.2)$:

$$
d_{j+1,k} = d_{j+1,k} - \rho\left(a_{j+1,k}\right) \tag{A.2}
$$

For the family of cubic interpolating wavelets, linear polynomials are used for the prediction. $\rho(a_{i+1,k})$ is a weighted prediction of a wavelet coefficient point given by [Formula](#page-11-1) (A.3):

$$
\rho\left(a_{j+1,k}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i\left(a_{j+1,k}\right) \tag{A.3}
$$

The value of $\rho(a_{i+1,k})$ is based on the even set, where *N* denotes how many data points will attend the weighted prediction. f_i are a set of filtering factors (weighting function) of one wavelet coefficient point, and can be found by employing a "Neville's polynomial interpolation" (see References $[6]$ $[6]$ $[6]$ to $[8]$ $[8]$) with a degree (*N*−1), with the recursion shown in [Formula](#page-11-2) (A.4):

$$
f_{i} = f_{1,2,\dots,N}(x) = \frac{(x - x_{1})f_{2,\dots,N}(x) - (x - x_{N})f_{1,2,\dots,N-1}}{(x_{N} - x_{1})}
$$
(A.4)

Initial coefficients, f_1 , f_2 ,..., f_N , are a set of Bezier coefficients of a spline interpolation, with degree (*N*−1).

For example, if cubic interpolation (*N* = 4) is employed to create a weighting function, four neighbouring values will attend a weighted prediction. Five cases should be taken into account:

- a) two neighbouring points on either side of an interval;
- b) one sample point on the left and three on the right at the left boundary of an interval;
- c) vice versa at the right boundary;
- d) four sample points on the left;
- e) four sample points on the right.

These cases are considered in order to guarantee boundary "naturalness", without including any artefacts (all filtering factors are indicated in [Table](#page-11-3) A.1). The result of this is that running-in and running-out lengths of normal filtering techniques are not needed.

Table A.1 — Filter coefficients for cubic polynomial interpolation

Number of samples		$k - 7$		$k-5$ $k-3$ $k-1$ $k+1$			$k+3$	$k+5$	
on left	on right								$k+7$
θ	4					2,1875	$-2,1875$ 1,312 5		$-0,312.5$
					0,312 5	0,9375	$-0,3125$	0.0625	
							-0.0625 0.562 5 0.562 5 -0.0625		
3			0,0625	$-0,312\,5$ 0.937 5		0.3125			
				$-0,312\ 5 \mid 1,312\ 5 \mid -2,187\ 5 \mid$	2.1875				

For example, when there are two samples on the left and two samples on the right, the lifting factors are as shown in [Formula](#page-12-0) (A.5):

$$
f = \left(-\frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, -\frac{1}{16}\right) \tag{A.5}
$$

and the wavelet coefficients can be updated as shown in [Formula](#page-12-1) (A.6):

$$
d_{j+1,k} = d_{j+1,k} - \frac{1}{16}(-a_{j+1,k-2} + 9a_{j+1,k-1} + 9a_{j+1,k} - a_{j+1,k+1})
$$
(A.6)

A.4 Updating

For every level of the multiresolution ladder, the resulting smoother profiles should preserve some of the properties of the original profile, for example the same average value and other higher moments. This is achieved in the updating stage.

The updating stage of the wavelet algorithm consists of updating the even subset from the odd subset, in order to preserve as many profile moments as possible. The operator is given by [Formula](#page-12-2) $(A.7)$:

$$
A_{j+1,k} = a_{j+1,k} + \mu(d_{j+1,k})
$$
\n(A.7)

where $\mu(d_{i+1,k})$ is a weighting update given by **[Formula](#page-12-3)** (A.8):

$$
\mu(d_{j+1,k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} l_i(d_{j+1,k})
$$
\n(A.8)

 $\mu(d_{i+1,k})$ is based on the real wavelet coefficients, where \tilde{N} indicates how many wavelet coefficient points will attend the weighting update. The larger *Ñ* is, the more profile moments are preserved. The *l i* are referred to as lifting factors.

The lifting factors can be calculated by the following algorithm. Firstly, an initial moment matrix is defined for all coefficients at the first level of the multiresolution ladder. The moment matrix *M* is defined by the number of points in the profile, *s*, and the value of *Ñ*, as shown in [Formula](#page-12-4) (A.9):

$$
M[a,b] = \begin{bmatrix} m_{1,1} & \dots & m_{1,s} \\ \vdots & m_{a,b} & \vdots \\ m_{\tilde{N},1} & \dots & m_{\tilde{N},s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1^0 & \dots & s^0 \\ \vdots & b^{a-1} & \vdots \\ 1^{\tilde{N}-1} & \dots & s^{\tilde{N}-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad 1 \le a \le \tilde{N} \tag{A.9}
$$

Updating the moment matrix requires an indication of how many filtering factors of corresponding wavelet coefficients will contribute to the update. When neighbouring point numbers on each side are the same, the moments can be expressed as shown in [Formula](#page-12-5) (A.10):

$$
m_{2p,q} = m_{2p,q} + \sum_{t,j} f_i m_{t,q}
$$
\n(A.10)

where

 $t = 2p - N + 1$, $2p - N + 3$, \cdots , $2p + N - 1$

$$
i=1,\cdots,N
$$

The lifting factors are the solution of the linear system in [Formula](#page-13-0) (A.11).

$$
\begin{bmatrix} m_{1,2p-\tilde{N}+2} & \cdots & m_{1,2p+\tilde{N}} \\ \vdots & m_{q,2p} & \vdots \\ m_{\tilde{N},2p-\tilde{N}+2} & \cdots & m_{\tilde{N},2p+\tilde{N}} \end{bmatrix}_{\tilde{N},\tilde{N}} \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ \vdots \\ l_q \\ \vdots \\ l_{\tilde{N}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{1,2p+1} \\ \vdots \\ m_{q,2p+1} \\ \vdots \\ m_{\tilde{N},2p+1} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (A.11)

For example, when a weighting update of a scalar coefficient is considered to be a cubic interpolation, the update can be calculated by using four neighbour wavelet coefficients. In this case, the lifting factors are $l = \left(-\frac{1}{32}, \frac{9}{32}, \frac{9}{32}, -\frac{1}{32}\right)$ 9 32 9 32 , $\frac{9}{32}$, $\frac{9}{32}$, $\frac{1}{32}$) and the scalar coefficients can be updated as shown in <u>[Formula](#page-13-1) (A.12)</u>.

$$
A_{j+1,k} = a_{j+1,k} + \frac{1}{32}(-d_{j+1,k-2} + 9d_{j+1,k-1} + 9d_{j+1,k} - d_{j+1,k+1})
$$
\n(A.12)

A.5 Forward and inverse transforms

To summarize, the forward transform is shown in [Formula](#page-13-2) (A.13).

Split
$$
\begin{cases} a_{j+1,k} = A_{j,2k} \\ d_{j+1,k} = A_{j,2k+1} \end{cases}
$$
 (A.13)
Predict $d_{j+1,k} = d_{j+1,k} - \rho(a_{j+1,k})$

Update
$$
A_{j+1,k} = a_{j+1,k} + \mu(d_{j+1,k})
$$

One important property of the lifting scheme is that once the forward transform is defined, the inverse transform can immediately be obtained. The operations are just reversed and the + and − toggled. This leads to the algorithm for the inverse transform shown in [Formula](#page-13-3) (A.14).

Update
$$
a_{j+1,k} = A_{j+1,k} - \mu(d_{j+1,k})
$$
 (A.14)

$$
\text{Predict}\ d_{j+1,k}\!=\!d_{j+1,k}+\rho(a_{j+1,k})
$$

Combine
$$
=
$$

$$
\begin{cases} A_{j,2k} = a_{j+1,k} \\ A_{j,2k+1} = d_{j+1,k} \end{cases}
$$

A.6 Examples of the application of cubic prediction wavelets

A.6.1 Profile from a milled surface

The profile is from a milled surface and is measured with a 5 μ m tip stylus. [Figure](#page-14-0) A.2 shows the successively "smoothed" profiles, together with the original profile at the top, with the smoothest profile superimposed on top.

Figure A.2 — Successively smoothed profiles of a milled surface using cubic interpolating wavelets

[Figure](#page-15-0) A.3 shows the differences (details) between successive smoothings. The milling marks are easily identifiable at level 5.

A.6.2 Profile from a ceramic surface

The profile is from a rough ceramic surface and is measured with a 5 μ m tip stylus. [Figure](#page-16-0) A.4 shows the successively "smoothed" profiles, together with the original profile at the top.

Figure A.4 — Successively smoothed profiles of a ceramic surface using cubic interpolating wavelets

[Figure](#page-17-0) A.5 shows the differences (details) between successive smoothings. The deep valleys are easily identifiable at levels 3 and 4, and various asperities can be seen at levels 1 and 2.

Figure A.5 — Differences on a ceramic surface using cubic interpolating wavelets

Annex B

(normative)

Cubic b-spline wavelets

B.1 Implementation of b-spline wavelets transform

The discrete wavelet filters of the BIOR 4.4, respectively CDF 9/7 family define the filter coefficients shown in Table [B.1](#page-20-0).

		Decomposition/analysis	Reconstruction/synthesis			
		Filter coefficients of DWT	Filter coefficients of iDWT			
	The decomposition low- pass filter	The decomposition high- pass filter	The reconstruction low- pass filter	The reconstruction high- pass filter		
	g_i	h_i	h_i	g_{i}		
-4	0,037 828 455 507			$-0,037828455507$		
-3	$-0,023849465020$	$-0,064538882629$	$-0,064538882629$	$-0,023849465020$		
-2	$-0,110624404418$	0,040 689 417 609	$-0,040689417609$	0,110 624 404 418		
-1	0,377 402 855 613	0,418 092 273 222	0,418 092 273 222	0,377 402 855 613		
Ω	0,852 698 679 009	$-0,788485616406$	0,788 485 616 406	$-0,852698679009$		
1	0,377 402 855 613	0,418 092 273 222	0,418 092 273 222	0,377 402 855 613		
$\overline{2}$	$-0,110624404418$	0,040 689 417 609	$-0,040689417609$	0,110 624 404 418		
3	$-0,023849465020$	$-0,064538882629$	$-0,064538882629$	$-0,023849465020$		
4	0,037 828 455 507			$-0,037828455507$		

Table B.1 — Normalized coefficients for the direct wavelet transform (DWT)

The abbreviations for this wavelet family either denote the number of filter coefficients or the number of vanishing moments. CDF is the abbreviation for Cohen, Daubechies, Feauveau, here with nine coefficients for g_j and $\widetilde{g_j}$ and seven coefficients for h_j and $\widetilde{h_j}$. The name BIOR 4.4 denotes the number of vanishing moments, which is four for both high-pass filters h_j and $\widetilde{g_j}$ and with the abbreviation BIOR for biorthogonal.

For CDF 9/7 the lifting scheme uses two predict and two update steps with the following coefficients (the pseudocode applies for data arrays starting from 0 as, for instance, in C programming language):

```
Predict 1
a = -1.586134342for i from 1 to n-3 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
x(n-1) = x(n-1) + 2*a*x(n-2)
```

```
Decomposition Reconstruction
                          Undo scale
                             a = 1.149604398for i from 0 to n-1 by step = 1
                               if modulo(i,2) = 1 then
                                x(i) = x(i) * a     else
                                x(i) = x(i)/a endfor
```

```
Update 1
a = -0.05298011854for i from 2 to n-1 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
x(0) = x(0) + 2*ax(x)Predict 2
a = 0.8829110762for i from 1 to n-3 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
x(n-1) = x(n-1) + 2*a*x(n-2)Update 2
a = 0.4435068522for i from 2 to n-1 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
x(0) = x(0) + 2*ax(x)Scale
a = 1.149604398for i from 0 to n-1 by step = 1
  if modulo(i, 2) = 1 then
    x(i) = x(i)/a     else
    x(i) = x(i) * a endfor
```

```
Decomposition Reconstruction
                              Undo update 2
                                 a = -0.4435068522for i from 2 to n-1 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
                                 x(0) = x(0) + 2*ax(x)Undo predict 2
                                 a = -0.8829110762for i from 1 to n-3 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
                                 x(n-1) = x(n-1) + 2*a*x(n-2)Undo update 1
                                 a = 0.05298011854for i from 2 to n-1 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
                                 x(0) = x(0) + 2*ax*(1)Undo predict 1
                                 a = 1.586134342for i from 1 to n-3 by step = 2x(i) = x(i) + a*(x(i-1)+x(i+1)) endfor
                                 x(n-1) = x(n-1) + 2*a*x(n-2)
```
B.2 Examples of the application of cubic b-spline wavelets

B.2.1 Profile from a bored surface

[Figure](#page-20-0) B.1 shows the successively "smoothed" profiles on the left, together with the original profile at the top, with the smoothest profile superimposed on top. The profile is from a bored surface and is measured with a 5 μm tip stylus.

MMMMML_MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM

MAMMMALAMMAMMMMMMMMMMMMM

Figure B.1 — Successively smoothed profiles (left) and levels of decomposition (right) of a bored surface using cubic b-spline wavelets

B.2.2 Profile from a turned surface

[Figure](#page-20-1) B.2 shows on the left side the successively "smoothed" profiles, together with the original profile at the top, and on the right side, each profile from the nth level of decomposition. The profile is measured on a turned surface in two passes. The deep valleys can be seen on levels 6 and 7 of the decomposition. The upper tool marks are identified in levels 4 and 5.

Figure B.2 — Successively smoothed profiles (left) and levels of decomposition (right) of a turned surface using cubic b-spline wavelets

Annex C

(informative)

Relationship to the filtration matrix model

C.1 General

For full details about the filtration matrix model, see ISO 16610-1.

C.2 Position in the filtration matrix model

This document influences particular filters in the column "Profile filters, Linear" (see [Table](#page-21-2) C.1).

Table C.1 — Relationship to the filtration matrix model

Annex D

(informative)

Relation to the GPS matrix model

D.1 General

For full details about the GPS matrix model, see ISO 14638.

The ISO GPS matrix model given in ISO 14638 gives an overview of the ISO GPS system of which this document is a part. The fundamental rules of ISO GPS given in ISO 8015 apply to this document. The default decision rules given in ISO 14253-1 apply to specifications made in accordance with this document, unless otherwise indicated.

D.2 Information about this document and its use

This document defines the basic terminology for spline wavelets filters.

D.3 Position in the GPS matrix model

This document is a general ISO GPS standard which influences chain links C and F of the chains of standards on profile surface texture and areal surface texture in the general GPS matrix, as graphically illustrated in Table D.1.

D.4 Related International Standards

The related International Standards are those of the chains of standards indicated in Table D.1.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 8015, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Fundamentals — Concepts, principles and rules*
- [2] ISO 14253 (all parts), *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) Inspection by measurement of workpieces and measuring equipment*
- [3] ISO 14638:2015, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) Matrix model*

References – Fundaments

- [4] Mallat S., A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing. Academic Press, Third Edition, 2009
- [5] Flannery B.P., Press W.H., Teukolsky S.A., Vetterling W.T., 13.10 *Wavelet transforms*. In: Numerical Recipes in C. Cambridge University Press, Second Edition, pp. 1992–2002.
- [6] Fernández G., Periaswamy S., Sweldens W., *Liftpack: A software package for wavelet transforms using lifting*, In Wavelet Applications in Signal and Image Processing IV. Proc. SPIE. 1996, 396
- [7] Sweldens W., The lifting scheme: A construction of second generation wavelets. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1998, **29** (2) pp. 551–546
- [8] Cohen A., Daubechies I., Feauveau J.C., Biorthogonal basis of compactly supported wavelets. Computations on Pure and Applied Mathematics. 1992, **XLV** pp. 485–560

References – GPS origins

[9] JIANG X.Q., BLUNT L., STOUT K.J., Development of a lifting wavelet representation for surface characterization. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. 2000, **456** pp. 2283–2313

In reporting the result of a test or analysis made in accordance with this standard, if the final value, observed or calculated, is to be rounded off, it shall be done in accordance with IS 2 : 2022 'Rules for rounding off numerical values (*second revision*)'. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act*, 2016 to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

Copyright

Headquarters:

BIS has the copyright of all its publications. No part of these publications may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BIS. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details, such as symbols and sizes, type or grade designations. Enquiries relating to copyright be addressed to the Head (Publication & Sales), BIS.

Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the websitewww.bis.gov.in or www.standardsbis.in.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc No.: PGD 25 (24598).

Amendments Issued Since Publication

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

Branches : AHMEDABAD, BENGALURU, BHOPAL, BHUBANESHWAR, CHANDIGARH, CHENNAI, COIMBATORE, DEHRADUN, DELHI, FARIDABAD, GHAZIABAD, GUWAHATI, HARYNA, HUBLI, HYDERABAD, JAIPUR, JAMMU & KASHMIR, JAMSHEDPUR, KOCHI, KOLKATA, LUCKNOW, MADURAI, MUMBAI, NAGPUR, NOIDA, PARWANOO, PATNA, PUNE, RAIPUR, RAJKOT, SURAT, VIJAYAWADA.