भारतीय मानक **IS 12450 (Part 1) : 2024**

Indian Standard

खदान के लिए स्टील हेडफ्रेम

भाग 1 लोड गणना के लिए सिद्धांत और सुरक्षा के लिए लागू कारक

(पहला पुनरीक्षण)

Steel Headframes for Mines

Part 1 Principles of Load Computation and Applicable Factors of Safety

(First Revision)

ICS 73.100.01

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो
BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS
मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110002
MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG
NEW DELHI - 110002
www.bis.gov.in www.standardsbis.in

October 2024 **Price Group 4**

Mining Techniques and Equipment Sectional Committee, MED 08

FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (First Revision) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards, after the draft finalized by the Mining Techniques and Equipment Sectional Committee had been approved by the Mechanical Engineering Division Council.

This standard was first published in 1988. The revision has been taken up with a view incorporating the modification found necessary as a result of experience gained in the use of this standard. Also, in this revision, the standard has been brought into latest style and format of Indian Standards as well as references to Indian Standards, wherever applicable have been updated. BIS certification marking clause has been modified to align with the revised *Bureau of Indian Standard Act*, 2016.

The composition of the Committee responsible for the formulation of this standard is given in Annex A.

For the purpose of deciding whether a particular requirement of this standard is complied with, the final value, observed or calculated, expressing the result of a test or analysis, shall be rounded off in accordance with IS 2 : 2022 'Rules for rounding off numerical values (*second revision*)'. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

Indian Standard

STEEL HEADFRAMES FOR MINES

PART 1 PRINCIPLES OF LOAD COMPUTATION AND APPLICABLE FACTORS OF SAFETY

(First Revision)

1 SCOPE

1.1 This standard lays down the principles of load computation and applicable factors of safety for steel headframes for mines used under normal and special operating conditions.

1.2 This standard is not applicable to winding towers where winding engine is installed on top of the headframe structure.

2 REFERENCES

The standards given below contain provisions which through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision and parties to the agreement based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of these standards:

IS No.	*Title*
IS 800 : 2007	General construction in steel — Code of practice (*third revision*)
IS 806 : 1968	Code of practice for use of steel tubes in general building construction (*first revision*)
IS 816 : 1969	Code of practice for use of metal arc welding for general construction in mild steel (*first revision*)
IS 2062 : 2011	Hot rolled medium and high tensile structural steel — Specification (*seventh revision*)

3 TYPES OF HEADFRAMES

Based on functional requirements, headframes may be grouped in three types:

a) *Type A* — Headframes for installations normally used for men/mineral/material winding;

b) *Type B* — Headframes for such winding installations where winding speed does not exceed 2 m/s or for such winding installations which are not normally used for mineral/material winding and are occasionally used for men winding for the purpose of inspection and maintenance, and the winding speed does not exceed 4 m/s; and

c) Type C — Headframes used for shaft sinking operations.

4 ASSUMPTIONS OF LOADS

4.1 All loads to be considered in relation to headframes shall be treated as static loads. For dynamic effects certain multiplying factors shall be used depending on the specific case. For the purpose of headframe design, the working load on the rope and the rope breaking load-shall be as defined in **4.1.1** and **4.1.2**.

4.1.1 *Working Load of the Rope*

It is the static load on the rope in the worst situation increased by 10 percent for starting resistance and acceleration/retardation.

The static load shall include maximum possible load due to self-weight of suspension gear, cage or other conveyance or counter-weight, payload, weight of mine cars/mine tubs, suspension gear for balance rope and weight of balance rope.

4.1.2 *Rope Breaking Load*

It is the breaking load of the rope according to manufacturer’s recommendations and increased by 5 percent.

4.2 Analysis of a structure for working load shall be made only in cases where it has been stipulated that analysis for the rope breaking load for the structure is not needed.

4.3 While analysing a structure under working load, all other loads acting on the frame like wind load, live load, etc shall be taken into account taking the most unfavourable situations.

4.4 While analyzing a structure under exceptional cases like rope breaking conditions, only one exceptional case shall be considered at a time. For example, in case of headframes serving more than one winding rope, breaking situation shall be considered in any one rope or ropes attached to a single conveyance. Similarly, when rope breaking loads are considered, wind loads shall not be considered simultaneously.

4.5 On platforms and stairways a live load of 2 kPa shall be assumed for general maintenance. For heavy maintenance involving replacement of components for normal mining operations, higher loads may be considered. These loads shall not be taken into account for the design of the complete frame but shall be used for design of the platforms and stairs affected by these loads.

5 LOAD COMBINATIONS FOR DESIGN OF HEADFRAMES

5.1 Type A — Headframes Serving Single Winder Installation Deploying Safety Detaching Hook

5.1.1 Headframe shall be analysed for rope breaking load in the rope(s) attached to the ascending cage/conveyance assuming that the cage/conveyance gets stuck-up; (a) in the shaft, and (b) in the headframe. In the other rope, load equal to one-third of rope breaking load shall be considered. The self-weight of the frame and other loads supported by the frame like rope guides, rigid guides, etc shall also be taken into account.

5.1.2 The beams supporting the bell box or bell plate shall be designed to withstand five times the load supported by the corresponding safety detaching hooks.

5.2 Type A — Headframes Serving More than One Winder Installation Deploying Safety Detaching Hooks

5.2.1 Headframe shall be analyzed for rope breaking load of one of the winding installation taking one-third of the rope breaking load for the second rope of same installation and working loads on the ropes of other winder installation. The worst case shall be considered. Rope breaking load shall be considered for the rope/ropes connected to the ascending cage/conveyance assuming that it gets stuck-up; (a) in the shaft, and (b) in the headframe. The self-weight of the frame and other loads supported by the frame like rope guides, rigid guides, etc shall also be taken into account.

5.2.2 The beams supporting the bell box/bell plate shall be designed to withstand five times the load supported by the corresponding safety detaching hook.

5.3 Type A — Headframes Serving a Single Friction Winder Installation

5.3.1 Headframes shall be analyzed on the same lines as specified in 5.1.

5.3.2 The buffer beams shall also be analysed for rope breaking load.

5.3.3 The beams supporting the catches provided for holding the cage/conveyance in the event of rope failure shall be designed to withstand five times the load required to be supported by them.

5.4 Type A — Headframes Serving More than One Friction Winder Installation

5.4.1 Headframe shall be analysed on the same lines as specified in 5.2.

5.4.2 The buffer beams shall also be analysed for rope breaking load.

5.4.3 The beams supporting the catches provided for holding the cage/conveyance in the event of rope failure shall be designed to withstand five times the load required to be supported by them.

5.5 Type B — Headframes

These shall be designed for the working load in the winding ropes enhanced by a multiplying factor of two. The self-weight, other supported loads and wind loads shall also be taken into account. These frames shall not be analysed for rope breaking loads. If safety detaching hooks are used, the beams supporting bell plate/bell box shall be designed as specified for the same in 5.1.2 and 5.2.2.

5.6 Type C — Headframes

5.6.1 Type C headframes shall be designed taking into account the following two conditions:

a) The suspended platform supported by the ropes passing over pulleys installed on the headframe stationary and the winding in operation — working load in the winding ropes shall be enhanced by a multiplying factor of two and only working load shall be considered in the ropes supporting the suspended platform; and

b) The suspended platform in motion with winding rope stationary — in this condition, the working load in the ropes

supporting the platform shall be enhanced by a multiplying factor of two while for the winding ropes only the working load shall be considered with the buckets empty as the winder in this case will not be in operation.

5.6.2 In both conditions specified in **5.6.1**, self-weight, other supported loads and wind loads shall be taken into consideration.

5.6.3 The headframes are not to be analyzed for the rope breaking situation.

5.6.4 If safety detaching hooks are used, the beams supporting bell plate/bell box shall be designed as specified in **5.1.2** and **5.2.2**.

6 FACTOR OF SAFETY

6.1 Except for the exceptional cases like rope breaking and falling of cage/conveyances on the catches, the permissible stresses in the members are to be taken as specified in IS 800.

6.2 For the purpose of design of the beams supporting the winding sheaves and the shaft beams, if any, supporting the headframes and the corresponding rivets, bolts, pins, etc the permissible stresses as specified in IS 806 shall be reduced by 25 percent.

6.3 The permissible stresses in the case of rope breaking and cage/conveyance falling on the catches as indicated in Table 1 shall be considered. For shop welded joints, permissible stress as specified in IS 816 shall be increased by 50 percent and for high tension pre-stressed bolted joints, stresses shall be taken as 10 percent higher than those specified in Table 1. No field welding shall be used.

6.4 The factor of safety of the headframe against tilting shall be 1.5 considering the self-weight with and without working and wind loads, and 1.3 with loading through half the rope breaking load and self-weight and other suspended loads but without wind load.

7 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

7.1 A coating of anti-corrosive paint shall be applied to headframes in accordance with IS 800 to avoid reduction in strength due to rusting.

7.2 Where the steel is directly exposed to weather and is not ordinarily accessible for cleaning and repainting, the minimum thickness of metal shall be selected in accordance with IS 800.

Table 1 Permissible Stresses for Loading Due to Rope Rupture and Conveyance Falling on Catches

(*Clause* 6.3)

Sl No.	Components in Headframe	Kind of Stress	Allowances Stress MPA	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
i)	General components	Tensile, compressive* and bending	26 000	–
ii)	Pulley supporting beams, columns and supporting beams at shaft mouth, if any	Tensile compressive* and bending	19 500	–
iii)	Riveted joints†	Shearing	19 500	Acting on the gross cross section of rivet
		Bearing	39 000	
		Tensile	8 000	
iv)	Joints with machined bolts and nuts	Shearing	19 500	Acting on the gross cross section of the rivet
		Bearing	39 000	

Table 1 (*Concluded*)

Sl No.	Components in Headframe	Kind of Stress	Allowances Stress MPA	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Tensile	16 000	Acting on the cross section of the base of the thread
v)	Anchor bolts	Tensile	15 500	Acting on the cross-section at the base of the thread

NOTE — Allowable stresses given in the table are based on quality of steel according to IS 2062 and any other material not inferior in quality to that specified in IS 2062 may be used.

* Members under compression may be checked for buckling according to IS 800.

† For field riveting, allowable stresses may be reduced by 20 percent.

ANNEX A

(*Foreword*)

COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

Mining Techniques and Equipment Sectional Committee, MED 08

Organization	*Representative*(*s*)
Directorate General of Mines Safety, Dhanbad	SHRI SAIFULLAH ANSARI **(*Chairperson*)**
Automotive Research Association of India, Pune	SHRI MILIND KANDALKAR SHRI DHONDIRAM MOLE (*Alternate*)
BEML Limited, Bengaluru	SHRI V. R. S. PRASAD RAO SHRI H. G. SURESH (*Alternate*)
CSIR - Central Institute for Mining and Fuel Research, Dhanbad	DR MANOJ KUMAR SINGH SHRI SURAJIT DEY (*Alternate* I) PROF S. K. KASHYAP (*Alternate* II)
Directorate General of Mines Safety, Dhanbad	SHRI M. ARUMUGAM
Eastern Coalfields Limited, Dishergarh	SHRI SARVESH KUMAR SHRI AJAY BHOWMIK (*Alternate*)
Eimco Elecon (India) Limited, Vallabh Vidyanagar	SHRI RAM RAMESH KALE SHRI VINAY JAYNARAYAN SHARMA (*Alternate*)
Hutti Gold Mines Company Limited, Bengaluru	DR PRABHAKAR SANGOORMATH SHRI MALLIKARJUN SARAPUR (*Alternate* I) MS MEGA HIREMATH (*Alternate* II)
Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad	SHRI L. A. KUMARASWAMIDHAS
Manganese Ore Limited, Nagpur	SHRI RAKESH KUMAR VERMA SHRI ATUL SHARMA (*Alternate* I) SHRI ASHWINI BAGHELE (*Alternate* II)
Metso Outotec India Private Limited, Vadodara	SHRI SANDEEP DEOKISAN BHATTAD
Nanda Millar Company, Kolkata	SHRI J. P. GOENKA SHRI MADHUR GOENKA (*Alternate*)
Tata Steel Limited, Dhanbad	SHRI SOUMENDHU MANJHI SHRI ABINASH JHA (*Alternate*)
BIS Directorate General	SHRI K. VENKATESWARA RAO, SCIENTIST 'F'/SENIOR DIRECTOR AND HEAD (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING) [REPRESENTING DIRECTOR GENERAL (*Ex-officio*)]

Member Secretary
SHRI SHUBHAM TIWARI
SCIENTIST 'D'/JOINT DIRECTOR
(MECHANICAL ENGINEERING), BIS

Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act*, 2016 to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the website-www.bis.gov.in or www.standardsbis.in.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc No.: MED 37 (20147).

Amendments Issued Since Publication

Amend No.	Date of Issue	Text Affected

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

Headquarters:

Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002
Telephones: 2323 0131, 2323 3375, 2323 9402 *Website*: www.bis.gov.in

Regional Offices: *Telephones*

Central : 601/A, Konnectus Tower -1, 6th Floor, DMRC Building, Bhavbhuti Marg, New Delhi 110002 { 2323 7617

Eastern : 8th Floor, Plot No 7/7 & 7/8, CP Block, Sector V, Salt Lake, Kolkata, West Bengal 700091 { 2367 0012 2320 9474

Northern : Plot No. 4-A, Sector 27-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh 160019 { 265 9930

Southern : C.I.T. Campus, IV Cross Road, Taramani, Chennai 600113 { 2254 1442 2254 1216

Western : 5th Floor/MTNL CETTM, Technology Street, Hiranandani Gardens, Powai, Mumbai 400076 { 2570 0030 2570 2715

Branches : AHMEDABAD, BENGALURU, BHOPAL, BHUBANESHWAR, CHANDIGARH, CHENNAI, COIMBATORE, DEHRADUN, DELHI, FARIDABAD, GHAZIABAD, GUWAHATI, HARYANA (CHANDIGARH), HUBLI, HYDERABAD, JAIPUR, JAMMU, JAMSHEDPUR, KOCHI, KOLKATA, LUCKNOW, MADURAI, MUMBAI, NAGPUR, NOIDA, PARWANOO, PATNA, PUNE, RAIPUR, RAJKOT, SURAT, VIJAYAWADA.

Published by BIS, New Delhi