Indian Standardभारतीय मानक

 सरचनाओं ं केभ ू कं परोधी षिज़ाइन के षिए मानदिं भाग 4 औद्योषगक संरचनाएँ और चट्टा टाइप संरचनाएँ

(दसरा प ू नरी ु क्षण)

Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures Part 4 Industrial Structures and Stack-Like Structures (*Second Revision)*

ICS 91.120.25

© BIS 2024

भारतीय मानक ब्यरोू BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110002 MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG NEW DELHI - 110002 [www.bis.gov.in](http://www.bis.org.in/) www.standardsbis.in

March 2024 Price Group 14

FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (Part 4) (Second Revision) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards, after the draft finalized by the Earthquake Engineering Sectional Committee had been approved by the Civil Engineering Division Council.

India is prone to strong earthquake shaking, and hence earthquake resistant design is essential. Structures designed as per this standard are expected to sustain damage during strong earthquake ground shaking. IS 1893 'Recommendations for earthquake resistant design of structures' was first published in 1962, and revised in 1966, 1970, 1975 and 1984. Further, in 2002, the Committee decided to present the provisions for different type of structures in separate parts, to keep abreast with rapid developments and extensive research carried out in earthquake-resistant design of various structures.

Considering the state of knowledge available then and in order to update this standard, the Committee had decided to cover the provisions for different types of structures in separate parts. This standard was therefore split into parts. The other parts in this series are:

- Part 1 General provisions and buildings
- Part 2 Liquid retaining tanks
- Part 3 Bridges and retaining walls
- Part 5 Dams and embankments (to be formulated)
- Part 6 Base isolated buildings

Clauses **1** to **6** of Part 1 of the standard represent general provisions that are applicable to all types of structures covered in Parts 2 to 6 (*including those under preparation*). The general provisions that are applicable specifically to industrial structures and stack-like structures, have also been provided in these clauses.

Part 4 of the standard was first published as a separate one in 2005 in which provisions on earthquake resistant design for industrial structures including stack-like structures were covered respectively in Sections 1 and 2. Thereafter, the Part 4 was revised in 2015, in which design spectrum was included, detailed categorization of industrial structures was incorporated; and special design consideration for RCC stacks were included. This revision is based on the earthquake hazard and seismic zone map as specified in IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016.

In this second revision, the following significant changes have been incorporated:

- a) Definitions of various terms related to earthquake engineering and industrial structures have been incorporated;
- b) Design spectrum for industrial structures [\(Section 1\)](#page-11-0) and stack-like structures [\(Section 2\)](#page-29-0) has been updated and included in [Annex C;](#page-38-0)
- c) Importance factor for Category 3 structures has been modified to be consistent with IS 1893 (Part 1);
- d) Maintenance specific imposed load (MSIL) has been introduced to address long maintenance periods where the loads as well as structural configuration may be different from those considered under other conditions;
- e) Industrial structures have been categorized in details, and the erstwhile [Table 6](#page-23-0) has been expanded in to three new Tables [6,](#page-23-0) [7](#page-26-0) and [8,](#page-28-0) and separately describes industrial structures, stack-like structures, and equipment/piping, respectively;
- f) Effect of masonry infill walls and stiffness considerations for RCC structures have been included;
- g) Considerations for temporary structures or facilities are brought under the purview of this standard;
- h) Specific provisions for the following have been included:
	- 1) Ground supported vertical cylindrical tanks,
	- 2) Structural considerations during maintenance,
	- 3) Anchorages,
	- 4) Structure supporting cranes,
	- 5) Bunkers and silos, and
	- 6) Pre-engineered steel structures. (*[Continued on third cover](#page-46-0)*)

Indian Standard

CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF **STRUCTURES**

PART 4 INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURES AND STACK- LIKE STRUCTURES

(Second Revision)

1 SCOPE

1.1 All industrial structures and stack-like structures other than nuclear installations shall be designed and constructed to resist the earthquake effects in accordance with the requirements and provisions of
this standard describes the $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ standard describes the procedures for earthquake resistant design and provides the procedure of estimating the earthquake forces for design of such structures.

1.2 Provisions for earthquake resistant design of equipment and piping (including the seismic qualification of equipment) are under development. Till such time they are published, this standard (Part 4) shall remain applicable.

1.3 In Seismic Zones II and III, temporary structures such as scaffolding, temporary excavations, structures or equipment needed during a scheduled shutdown or maintenance period, structures erected to temporarily support heavy equipment and other facilities of industrial structures during construction and are meant for a limited-time use not exceeding one year need not be designed for earthquake forces.

1.4 In Seismic Zones IV and V, these temporary structures (as in **1.3**) shall be designed as Category 4 structures. The drift limitation provisions of Category 4 structures need not be applied to design of temporary structures.

1.5 Temporary facilities or structures that may be in service for longer than one year shall be designed as permanent structures as per the provisions of this standard in all seismic zones.

1.6 This standard does not deal with the construction features relating to earthquake resistant design in non-industrial buildings and structures. For guidance on earthquake resistant construction of these structures, reference may be made to the following standards: Other parts of IS 1893, IS 4326, IS 13827, IS 13828, IS 13920, IS 13935 and IS 15988.

1.7 This standard shall be considered applicable to structures of all industries, including those listed below, unless exclusively noted otherwise:

- a) Process industries;
- b) Power plants;
- c) Petroleum, fertilizers, chemical and petro-chemical industries;
- d) Steel, copper, zinc, and aluminum plants;
- e) Pharmaceutical plants;
- f) Cement industries;
- g) Automobile industries;
- h) Sugar and alcohol industries;
- j) Glass and ceramic industries;
- k) Textile industries;
- m) Foundries;
- n) Electrical, electronic and semiconductor industries;
- p) Consumer product industries;
- q) Water treatment plants, effluent treatment plants and sewage treatment plants;
- r) Leather industries;
- s) Off-shore structures, marine/port and harbour structures;
- t) Mills of various plants;
- u) Information technology, data processing centers and communication industries;
- v) Pulp and paper industries; and
- w) Food processing and packaging plants.

1.8 In addition to the above, the standard is applicable to the following structures, which are classified as stack-like structures:

- a) Natural draught cooling towers and prilling towers;
- b) Transmission and communication towers and masts, including those mounted on buildings;
- c) Chimneys and stack-like structures;
- d) Steel tubular support structures for onshore wind turbine generator systems;
- e) Silos (including parabolic silos);
- f) Support structures for refinery columns including distillation columns; and
- g) Pressure vessels and chemical reactor columns.

1.9 For nuclear installations, reference shall be made to the applicable safety standards.

2 REFERENCES

The standards listed in [Annex A](#page-35-0) contain provisions, which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of these standards.

3 TERMINOLOGY

Terminology given under **3** of IS 1893 (Part 1) shall apply to this standard as well. In addition, the following terms shall also apply to this standard. For definition of terms pertaining to soil mechanics and soil dynamics, reference may be made to IS 2809 and IS 2810, and for definition of terms pertaining to 'loads', reference may be made to IS 875 (Parts 1 to 5).

3.1 Damping — The effect of internal friction, inelasticity of material, slipping, sliding, etc, in reducing the amplitude of oscillation.

3.1.1 *Critical Damping* — The damping beyond which the free vibration motion will not be oscillatory.

3.1.2 *Damping Ratio* — A fraction of critical damping.

3.2 Design Vertical Acceleration Coefficient (A_v) — Design seismic acceleration spectral value of vertical ground motion that shall be used for design of structures, wherever appropriate.

3.3 Earthquake Ground Motion — The characteristics (intensity, duration, frequency content, etc) of seismic ground vibrations expected at any site depend on magnitude of earthquake, its focal depth, epicentral distance, characteristics of the path through which the seismic waves travel, and soil strata on which the structure is founded. The random earthquake ground motions, which cause the structure to oscillate, can be resolved in any three mutually perpendicular directions. The predominant

direction of ground vibration is usually horizontal.

3.4 Epicenter — The geographical point on the surface of earth vertically above the point of origin of the earthquake.

3.5 Epicentral Distance — The distance on the ground surface between an observer or site and the epicenter.

3.6 Focal Depth — The distance between the epicenter and the focus.

3.7 Focus — The point inside the earth where the elastic waves due to earthquake originate causing shaking of ground.

3.8 Magnitude — A number that characterizes the relative size of an earthquake.

3.9 Modal Response History (MRH) Analysis — The solution methods for linear response history analysis procedure wherein the decoupled equations are solved to obtain modal responses, and after the solution, the modal responses are combined together.

3.10 Mode Shape — A characteristic deflected shape of a structure under free vibration corresponding to a specific natural frequency of the system, also known as an Eigen vector.

3.11 Mode Shape Coefficient — The element φ_{ik} is called the mode shape coefficient associated with degree of freedom i , when the structure is oscillating in mode k .

3.12 Principal Directions — Two mutually perpendicular horizontal directions in plan and the vertical direction of the structure along which the majority of force resisting system is oriented.

3.13 Seismic Mass — Seismic weight W divided by acceleration due to gravity, q .

3.14 Seismic Waves — When an earthquake occurs, seismic waves are produced which are classified into two groups: body waves, which travel through the earth in all directions and to all depths, and surface waves, whose propagation is limited to a volume of rock within a few seismic wavelengths of the earth's surface.

3.15 Seismic Weight — Total dead load plus appropriate specified imposed load. The imposed load includes the weight of the contents for vessels, tanks, hoppers, equipment and containers.

4 TERMINOLOGY FOR INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURES

For the purpose of earthquake resistant design of industrial structures in this standard, the following definitions shall apply.

4.1 Base — The level at which inertial forces generated in the buildings are considered to be transferred to the ground through the foundation. For buildings with basements, it is considered at the bottommost basement level.

4.2 Base Dimension — Dimension (in metre) of the base of the structure along a direction of earthquake ground shaking.

4.3 Centre of Mass — The point through which the resultant of the inertia force of a system acts during earthquake shaking. This point corresponds to the centre of gravity of masses of system.

4.4 Centre of Resistance — The point through which the resultant of the restoring forces in the structural elements of a system acts in that storey.

4.5 Combined Structures — A structure with lateral load resisting elements constructed from a combination of reinforced or prestressed concrete and structural steel.

4.6 Height of Structure (h) **— The difference in** levels between its base and its highest level. For pitched or sloped roofs, the height of structure is from the base to the average height of the roof.

4.7 Maintenance Specific Imposed Load (MSIL) — Load imposed on a specified floor area for maintenance and repair operations. MSIL shall include but not limited to the load due to maintenance equipment, staging, increased imposed load due to personnel and their equipment, etc. MSIL shall also duly account for the effect of nonuniform distribution of the load and influence of factors such as impact, if applicable.

4.8 Nonstructural Elements — Systems and components attached to the floors and walls of an industrial structure that are not part of its main loadbearing structural system.

4.9 Primary System — The building or nonbuilding structure supporting the secondary system.

4.10 Secondary System — Structures, systems, equipment and components supported on the primary system.

4.11 Soil-Structure Interaction — Effect by which structure and surrounding soil mutually affect their overall response.

5 SYMBOLS

The symbols and notations applicable to both [Sections 1](#page-11-0) and [2](#page-29-0) are given as under:

6 GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND DESIGN CRITERIA

6.1 General Principles

6.1.1 *Inertia Forces*

Earthquake generated inertia forces shall be considered in both horizontal and vertical directions in design and shall be used appropriately for structural floors supporting equipment, machinery and piping. Effects of earthquake-induced vertical shaking can be significant for overall stability analysis of structures, especially in structures: (a) with large spans, and (b) those in which stability is a criterion for design. Reduction in gravity force due to vertical ground motions can be detrimental particularly in prestressed horizontal members, cantilevered members, and gravity structures. Hence, special attention shall be paid to effects of vertical ground motion on prestressed or cantilevered beams, girders, and slabs.

6.1.2 The response of a structure to ground vibrations depends on soil strata, its foundation type, materials, form, size, its mode of construction, duration and characteristics of ground motion. This standard specifies design forces for structures founded on rocks or soils, which do not settle, liquefy or slide, due to loss of strength during earthquake ground vibrations.

6.1.3 Actual ground accelerations that are experienced during earthquakes by structures are much stronger than the design accelerations specified in the standard. Consequently, these are likely to experience inelastic deformations. Ductility arising from inelastic material behaviour with appropriate design and detailing, and over strength resulting from the additional reserve strength in structures over and above the design strength, are relied upon to account for the differences in actual and design lateral forces. In other words, earthquake resistant design as per this standard relies on inelastic behaviour of structures. But, the maximum ductility that can be realized is limited. Therefore, all structures shall be designed for at least the minimum design lateral force specified in this standard.

6.1.4 Members and connections of reinforced and prestressed concrete members shall be designed (as per IS 456 and IS 1343) to ensure that premature failure does not occur due to shear or bond. Some provisions for appropriate ductile detailing of RC members are given in IS 13920. Members and their connections of steel structures shall be designed and detailed such that high ductility is obtained in the structure, thereby avoiding premature failure due to elastic or inelastic buckling of any type. Some provisions for appropriate ductile detailing of steel members are given in IS 800 and IS 18168.

6.1.5 Soil-structure interaction refers to effects of flexibility of supporting soil-foundation system on the response of structure. It shall not be considered for structures supported on rock or rock-like material at shallow depth.

6.1.6 Equipment and other mechanical systems and machinery, which are supported at various floor levels of the structure, will be subjected to different motions at their support points. In such cases, it may be necessary to obtain floor response spectra for analysis and design of equipment. For example, containers and vessels of hazardous or toxic materials with solids, liquids, or gases, shall be analyzed using applicable floor response spectra. Specialist literature shall be referred for generation of floor response spectra.

6.1.7 The design force specified in this standard shall be considered in each of the two principal horizontal directions and in vertical direction of the structure.

6.2 Assumptions and Considerations

The following assumptions and considerations have been made in this standard for earthquake resistant design of structures:

- a) Earthquake ground motions are complex and irregular, consisting of several frequencies and of varying amplitudes each lasting for a small duration. Therefore, usually, resonance of the type as visualized under steady-state sinusoidal excitations will not occur, as it would need time to build up such amplitudes. But, there are
exceptions where resonance-like exceptions where resonance-like conditions have been seen to occur between long distance waves and tall structures founded on deep soft soils;
- b) Earthquake is not likely to occur simultaneously with high wind, maximum flood, or maximum sea waves;
- c) Maintenance specific imposed loads (MSIL) shall be considered only when the maintenance duration is longer than 10 days. When the maintenance duration is less than 10 days, MSIL need not be combined with earthquake forces, but shall be separately considered as a super imposed load in the structural design; and
- d) The values of elastic modulus of materials, where required, shall be those for static analysis [as per IS 456, IS 800, IS 1343, IS 1905, IS 4998 and IS 2974 (Parts 1 to 5)], unless a more definite values are available for use in dynamic conditions.

6.3 Increase in Permissible Stresses

6.3.1 *Increase in Permissible Stress in Materials*

When earthquake forces are considered along with other design forces using the working stress method of design, the permissible stresses in materials may be increased by one-third. But, in case of steels having a definite yield stress, the stress shall be limited to the yield stress. For steels without a definite yield point, the stress shall be limited to 80 percent of the ultimate strength or 0.2 percent proof stress, whichever is smaller. In prestressed concrete members, the tensile stress in the extreme fibres of the concrete shall be limited to two-third of the modulus of rupture of concrete. When using the limit state method of design, increase in permissible stresses in materials is not allowed.

6.3.2 *Increase in Allowable Net Bearing Pressure on Soils in Design of Foundations*

When earthquake forces are included, the net allowable bearing pressure in soils shall be increased as per Table 1A, depending on type of soil.

In soil deposits consisting of submerged loose sands and soils falling under classification SP with corrected standard penetration test values *N*, less than 15 in Seismic Zones III, IV and V and less than 10 in Seismic Zone II, the shaking caused by earthquake ground motion may cause liquefaction or excessive total and differential settlements. For specific sites, study should be conducted to determine its liquefaction potential on need basis. Specialist literature may be referred to assess liquefaction potential. Such sites should preferably be avoided while locating new settlements or important projects. Otherwise, this aspect of the problem needs to be investigated and appropriate methods of compaction or stabilization adopted to ac h ieve suitable values as indicated in Note 4 of ac ir ieve
Table 1A.

Alternatively, deep pile foundation may be provided and taken to depths well into the layer, which is not likely to liquefy. Also, marine clays and other sensitive clays are known to liquefy due to collapse of soil structure and will need special treatment according to site condition (*see* Table 1A and [Table 1B\)](#page-9-0).

(*Clause* 6.3.2)

NOTES

1 The net allowable bearing pressure shall be determined in accordance with IS 6403 or IS 8009.

2 Only corrected values of *N* shall be used.

3 If any increase in net allowable bearing pressure has already been permitted for forces other than seismic forces, the total increase in allowable bearing pressure when seismic force is also included shall not exceed the limits specified above.

4 The desirable minimum corrected field values of *N* are as follows:

If soils of lower *N* values are encountered than those specified above, then suitable ground improvement techniques shall be adopted to achieve these values. Alternately, deep pile foundations should be used, which are anchored in stronger strata, underlying the soil layers that do not meet the requirement.

5 Piles should be designed for lateral loads neglecting lateral resistance of those soil layers (if any), which are liable to liquefy.

6 Indian Standards IS 1498 and IS 2131 may be referred for soil notation, and corrected *N* values shall be determined by applying correction factor C_N for effective overburden pressure $\sigma'_{\nu o}$ using relation $N = C_N N_1$, where $C_N = \sqrt{P_a/ \sigma'_{\nu o}} \leq 1.7$, P_a is the atmospheric pressure and N_1 is the uncorrected SPT value for soil.

7 While using this table, the value of *N* to be considered shall be determined as below:

- a) Isolated footings weighted average of *N* of soil layers from depth of founding, to depth of founding plus twice the breadth of footing;
- b) Raft foundations weighted average of *N* of soil layers from depth of founding, to depth of founding plus twice the breadth of raft;
- c) Pile foundation weighted average of *N* of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of pile, to depth of bottom tip of pile plus twice the diameter of pile;
- d) Group pile foundation weighted average of *N* of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of pile group, to depth of bottom tip of pile group plus twice the width of pile group; and
- e) Well foundation weighted average of *N* of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of well, to depth of bottom tip of well plus twice the width of well.

For determining the type of soil, soils shall be classified in 4 types as given in Table 1B.

Table 1B Classification of Types of Soils for Determining Percentage Increase in Net Bearing Pressure and Skin Friction

(*Clauses* [6.3.2](#page-8-0) *and* [17.1](#page-31-0))

SI No. iii)	Soil Type Type C Soft soils	Remarks All soft soils other than SP with $N<10$. The various possible soils are:			
		Silts of intermediate compressibility (MI); a) Silts of high compressibility (MH); b) Clays of intermediate compressibility (CI); c) Clays of high compressibility (CH); d) Silts and clays of intermediate to high e) compressibility (MI-MH or CI-CH);			
		Silt with clay of intermediate compressibility f) (MI-CI); and			
		Silt with clay of high compressibility (MH-CH). g)			
iv)	Type D Unstable, collapsible, liquefiable soils	Requires site-specific study and special treatment according to site condition (see 6.3.2).			

Table 1B (*Concluded*)

7 DESIGN SPECTRUM

7.1 For design of structures falling under Categories 1 and 2, site-specific seismic spectra shall be evaluated. The effects of earthquake base excitation for design, shall be estimated based on the principles of probabilistic earthquake hazard assessment as well as on the principles of deterministic earthquake hazard assessment. The envelope of the two methods shall be considered as the site-specific spectra.

The effects of standard design spectra as per **7.3.2**, shall be taken as minimum for design of structures. The effects of the site-specific spectrum shall not be taken less than those arising out of the design spectrum specified in this standard.

7.2 For design of structures falling under Categories 3 and 4, where site-specific studies are not carried out, the effects of design spectrum specified in this standard with correction for zone factor and modifications as per **7.3.2** shall be adopted.

7.3 Design Horizontal Seismic Coefficient

The horizontal seismic coefficient A_h shall be estimated using the natural period T , described as below.

7.3.1 When using site-specific spectrum, (sitespecific spectra shall be generated for an earthquake with probability of exceedance of 10 percent in 50 years), the design horizontal seismic coefficient A_h shall be estimated as:

$$
A_{\rm h} = \left(\frac{S_{\rm a}}{g}\right)_{\rm SS} \frac{I}{R}
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{S_a}{g}\right)_{SS}
$$
 = Site-specific spectral acceleration
coefficient denoting peak response
acceleration corresponding to
fundamental natural period, *T* of
the structure;

- *I* = Importance factor as per Table 3; and
- *R* = Response reduction facto[r as per](#page-15-0) Table 4.

7.3.2 When u[sing des](#page-16-0)ign spectrum given in this standard, the design horizontal seismic coefficient A_h shall be estimated as:

$$
A_{\rm h} = \frac{Z}{2} \left(\frac{S_{\rm a}}{g} \right) \frac{I}{R}
$$

where

(

- *Z* = Seismic Zone Factor given in given in Table 13 [Seismic Zone Factors for some important towns are liste[d in Annex](#page-37-0) E of IS 1893 (Part 1)];
- $S_{\rm a}$ \overline{g}) Spectral acceleration coefficient for different soil types, normalized with peak ground acceleration, as given in Fig. 1 (Annex C), corresponding to fundamental natural period, T of structure. These values are for 5 percent damping. Multiplying factors for obtaining the spectral values for other damping values are given in Table 14 (Annex B);

^{*}Here *N* is the corrected standard penetration test value after ground improvement if required.

- *I* = Importance factor given in [Table 3;](#page-15-0)
- *R* = Response reduction factor given in [Table 4;](#page-16-0) and
- *T* = Natural period of the structure (*see* **[9.3](#page-18-0)** and **[14](#page-29-0)**).
- Ratio $\left(\frac{I}{I}\right)$ $\frac{1}{R}$) shall, in no case, be larger than 1.0.

7.4 Design Vertical Seismic Coefficient

Design vertical seismic coefficient A_v shall be in accordance with **6.4.6** of IS 1893 (Part 1).

SECTION 1 INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURES

8 DESIGN CRITERIA

8.1 Categorization of Structures

8.1.1 To perform well in an earthquake, industrial structures shall possess adequate strength, stiffness and ductility.

8.1.2 Industrial structures shall be classified into the following 4 categories:

- a) Category 1
	- i) Structures, whose failure (including failure due to hazard of fire, explosion or air and water poisoning) can cause conditions that can lead directly or indirectly to extensive loss of life/property to population at large in the areas adjacent to the industrial facility, or
	- ii) Structures that support components and equipment whose failure can cause conditions that can lead directly or indirectly to extensive loss of life/property to population at large in the areas adjacent to the industrial facility.
- b) Category 2
	- i) Structures not falling in Category 1, whose failure can cause conditions that can lead directly or indirectly to extensive damage (including due to consequent fire hazards) within the industrial facility, or
	- ii) Structures in industrial facilities, which are required to handle emergencies immediately after an earthquake, such as hospitals, communication facilities etc. are also included under this category, or
	- iii) Structures that support components

and equipment that do not fall in Category 1 and whose failure can cause conditions that can lead directly or indirectly to extensive damage (including due to consequent fire hazards) within the industrial facility.

c) Category 3

- i) High monetary value structures or structures housing expensive plant, machinery or equipment, and not falling in Categories 1 and 2, or
- ii) Structures that support high monetary value components and equipment that do not fall in Categories 1 and 2.
- d) Category 4

All other structures, components and equipment not included in Categories 1, 2 and 3.

8.1.3 Typical categorization of industrial structures is given in [Tables 6,](#page-23-0) [7](#page-26-0) and [8.](#page-28-0) These are applicable for design of equipment, as well as its supporting structure and their foundation.

NOTES

1 The term failure used in the definition of categories implies loss of function and these structures, components and equipment may not experience complete collapse.

2 Pressurized equipment where cracking can lead to rupture may be categorized based on the severity of consequences of rupture.

3 Mixed use of structures where components of more than one category are present, the entire structure shall comply with the requirement of the most stringent category.

8.2 Design Loads

8.2.1 *Dead Load* (*DL*)

The dead loads shall be taken as per IS 875 (Part 1).

8.2.2 *Super Imposed Dead Loads* (*SIDL*)

Industrial structures contain equipment and associated fluids, bulk materials, auxiliaries and accessories, which are mounted permanently on the structures. These loads shall be taken in accordance with equipment specifications.

NOTES

1 These loads may be provisional, that is, during service, some of SIDL (or at some locations) may be smaller or negligible. Such variations should be accounted in the design of individual members.

2 Equipment supported on a structure can have multipleoperational scenarios such as empty, partially full and full. These operational scenarios may result in mass irregularities that shall be duly accounted for. In case of multiple equipment supported on a structure, various possible combinations of operational conditions shall be considered.

8.2.3 *Imposed Loads* (*IL*)

These shall be taken as per IS 875 (Part 2).

8.2.4 *Maintenance Specific Imposed Loads* (*MSIL*)

These shall be taken as per **[4.7](#page-4-0)**.

8.2.5 *Earthquake Loads* (*EL*)

Earthquake force estimation, analysis, and design for various categories of structures shall comply with [Table 2.](#page-13-0)

8.2.6 *Other Loads*

Whenever loads and load effects due to temperature changes, soil and hydrostatic pressures, accidental loads etc. are to be considered in the design of industrial structures, the same shall be as per IS 875 (Part 5).

8.3 Load Combinations

When earthquake loads are considered on a structure, the response quantities (namely member forces, displacements, storey forces, shears and base reactions) due to dead load (DL), imposed load (IL), super imposed dead loads (SIDL), maintenance specific imposed loads (MSIL), and design earthquake load (EL) shall be combined as per **8.3.1** and **8.3.2**.

8.3.1 *Partial Safety Factors for Limit State Design and Load Factors for Plastic Design of Steel Structures*

a) Partial Safety Factors for Loads in Limit State Design

In the limit state design of steel structures, load combinations shall conform to applicable clauses of IS 800.

b) Load Factors in Plastic Design

In the plastic design of steel structures, load combinations considered shall include:

$$
1) 1.7(DL + SIDL + IL + MSIL)
$$

2) $1.7(DL + SIDL + MSIL) \pm 1.7EL$ 3) $1.3(DL + SIDL + IL + MSIL + EL)$

In these load combinations, MSIL shall be as per **[6.2](#page-8-0) (c)**, and IL shall not include erection loads and crane loads.

8.3.2 *Partial Safety Factors for Loads in Limit State Design of Reinforced Concrete and Pre Stressed Concrete Structures*

In the limit state design of reinforced and prestressed concrete structures, load combinations considered shall include:

- a) $1.5(DL + SIDL + IL + MSIL)$
- b) $1.2(DL + SIDL + IL + MSIL + EL)$
- c) $1.5(DL + SIDL + MSIL + EL)$
- d) $1.5(0.6DL \pm EL)$

In these load combinations, MSIL shall be as per **[6.2](#page-8-0) (c)**, and IL shall not include erection loads and crane loads. Partial safety factor for load due to prestressing effect shall be as per IS 1343.

8.3.2.1 In industrial structures, the plan-wise distribution of mass and stiffness of the structural system may or may not be symmetrical about two lateral directions, that is, along X and Y directions in plan. When responses from the three earthquake components are to be considered, the response due to each component shall be combined as per **8.3.2.1.1** and **[8.3.2.1.2](#page-15-0)**.

8.3.2.1.1 Where the plan-wise distribution of mass and stiffness of the structural system is not symmetrical about two plan $(X \text{ and } Y)$ directions, the response due to each component shall be combined such that when the maximum response from one component occurs, the responses from the other horizontal and vertical components are 30 percent of the corresponding maxima along those directions.

All possible combinations of the three components (EL_X, EL_Y, EL_Z) including variations in sign (plus or minus) shall be considered. Thus, the response due to earthquake force (EL) shall be the maximum of the following:

$$
EL \ = \ \begin{cases} \ EL_{X} \ \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{Y} \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{Z} \\ \ EL_{Y} \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{X} \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{Z} \\ \ EL_{Z} \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{X} \pm \ 0.3 \ EL_{Y} \end{cases}
$$

As an alternative to the above procedure, the response (EL) due to the combined effect of the three components can be obtained on the basis of square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS), as:

$$
EL = \sqrt{(EL_X)^2 \pm (EL_Y)^2 \pm (EL_Z)^2}
$$

Table 2 Earthquake Force Estimation, Method of Analysis and Design for Various Categories of Structures

(*[Clauses](#page-12-0)* 8.2.5 *and* [10.3.1\)](#page-20-0)

8.3.2.1.2 Where the plan-wise distribution of mass and stiffness of the structural system is symmetrical about two plan $(X \text{ and } Y)$ directions, the structure shall be designed for the effects due to full design earthquake force in one horizontal plan direction at a time combined with those due to 30 percent of full earthquake force along the vertical (Z) direction.

Thus, the response due to earthquake force (EL) shall be the maximum of the following:

$$
EL = \begin{cases} \pm EL_X \pm 0.3 EL_Z \\ \pm EL_Y \pm 0.3 EL_Z \\ \pm EL_Z \pm (0.3 EL_X \text{ or } \pm 0.3 EL_Y) \end{cases}
$$

8.3.2.1.3 The combination procedures of **[8.3.2.1.1](#page-12-0)** and **8.3.2.1.2** apply to the same response quantity (say, moment in a column about its major axis, or storey shear in a frame) due to different components of the ground motion. These combinations shall be made at the member force or stress level.

8.3.3 Other loads in accordance with **[8.2.6](#page-12-0)** shall be combined as per IS 875 (Part 5).

8.4 Seismic Weight

8.4.1 *Seismic Weight of Floor*

Seismic weight of each floor is sum of its full dead load (DL), superimposed dead load (SIDL), percentage of imposed load (IL) as specified in IS 1893 (Part 1) and applicable maintenance specific imposed loads (MSIL) as per **[6.2\(c\)](#page-8-0)**. Weight of piping, cable trays, any other such utility that runs across the floors shall be included in the seismic weight of upper and lower supporting floors using law of statics.

8.4.2 *Seismic Weight of Structure*

Seismic weight of structure is the sum of seismic weight of each floor.

8.5 Importance Factor (*I***)**

Relative importance assigned to a structure to take into account the consequences of its damage. Importance factors for structures in different categories are given in Table 3. Higher importance factor may be assigned to different structures at the discretion of the project authorities.

8.6 Response Reduction Factor (*R***)**

Response reduction factor *R*, takes into account the margins of strength, safety, redundancy and ductility of the structure. For industrial structures including stack-like structures, response reduction factors are given in [Table 4.](#page-16-0) These factors shall be used only for steel and RCC structures/support structures and not for design of equipment. For equipment, $\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ $\frac{1}{R}$) = 1 shall be used.

Table 3 Importance Factor *I* **for Various Categories of Industrial Structures and Stack-Like Structures**

(*Clauses* [7.3.1](#page-10-0), 8.5, [7.3.2,](#page-11-0) [12.4.2.1](#page-22-0) *and* [12.4.2.2\)](#page-22-0)

*Categorization of individual structure and components applicable to typical industries are given in Tables $6, 7$ $6, 7$ and 8 .

Table 4 Response Reduction Factor, *R* **for Industrial Structures and Stack Like**

Sl No. Lateral Force Resisting System *R* (1) (2) (3) i) Moment frame systems: a) RC structures with ordinary moment resisting frame (OMRF) (*see* Note 1a) b) RC structures with special moment resisting frame (SMRF) c) Steel structures with ordinary moment resisting frame (OMRF) (*see* Note 1a) d) Steel structures with special moment resisting frame (SMRF) 3.0 5.0 3.0 5.0 ii) Braced frame systems (*see* Note 2): a) Structures with ordinary braced frame (OBF) having concentric braces b) Structures with special braced frame (SBF) having concentric braces c) Structures with special braced frame (SBF) having eccentric braces 4.0 4.5 5.0 iii) Structural wall systems (*see* Note 3): a) Structures with load bearing masonry walls 1 Unreinforced masonry without horizontal RC seismic bands (*see* Note 1a) 2 Unreinforced masonry with horizontal RC seismic bands 3 Unreinforced masonry with horizontal RC seismic bands and vertical 4 Reinforced masonry [*see* SP 7 (Part 6/Sec 4)] 5 Confined masonry [*see* SP 7 (Part 6/Sec 4)] 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.0 b) Structures with ordinary RC structural walls (*see* Note 1a) 3.0 c) Structures with RC special structural walls (SSW) 4.0 iv) Dual systems (*see* Notes 3 and 4) a) Structures with ordinary RC structural walls and RC OMRFs (*see* Note 1a) b) Structures with ordinary RC structural walls and RC SMRF*s* (*see* Note 1a) c) Structures with RC special structural walls with RC OMRFs (*see* Note 1a) d) Structures with RC special structural walls with RC SMRFs 3.0 4.0 4.0 5.0 v) vi) vii) Flat slabs (Two-way slabs without beams) (*see* Note 1b) Structures designed to remain elastic Stack like Structures: a) Reinforced concrete ventilation stacks b) Reinforced concrete chimneys c) Reinforced brick masonry chimney d) Unreinforced brick masonry chimney 2.5 1.0 1.5 1.5 1.25 1.0 reinforcing bars at corners of rooms and jambs of openings (with reinforcement as per IS 4326)

Structures (*Clauses* [7.3.1, 7.3.2](#page-10-0) *and* [8.6\)](#page-15-0)

Table 4 (*Concluded*)

NOTES

1 Limitations on use of lateral force resisting systems:

a) RC and steel structures in Seismic Zones III, IV and V shall be designed to be ductile. Hence, this system is not allowed in these seismic zones.

b) Flat slabs (two-way slab without beams) as a part of lateral force resisting system are permitted in Seismic Zones II and III only for buildings with height less than 20 m.

2 Eccentric braces shall be used only with SBFs.

3 Structures with structural walls shall also include structures having structural walls and moment frames, but where:

- a) Frames are not designed to carry lateral loads; or
- b) Frames are designed to carry lateral loads but do not fulfill the requirements of 'dual systems'.

4 In case of dual system, the moment resisting frames shall be designed to independently resist at least 25 percent of the design base shear.

5 Structures or equipment not defined in the table above, shall have the R value based on the strength, safety, redundancy and ductility requirement keeping its usage/earthquake damage level in consideration.

6 Classification of silos are as follow:

For silos supported on moment resisting frames or on frames with bracings, and for cast-in-place concrete silos supported on concrete walls continuous to the foundation, *R* values defined in the above table for the corresponding structural system times a factor equal to 0.7 shall be considered.

9 MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

9.1 Modelling Requirements

The mathematical model of the physical structure shall include all elements of the lateral forceresisting system. Also, the model shall include the stiffness and strength of elements, which are required for the distribution of forces. The model shall represent properly the spatial distribution of the mass and stiffness of the structures, especially the mass of equipment, cable trays and piping systems and the associated accessories. Further, fifty percent (50 percent) of the imposed load shall be included, besides SIDL and MSIL, as distributed mass on the structure.

9.1.1 *Effective Moment of Inertia*

For structural analysis of RC structures, the effective

moment of inertia shall be considered as per **6.4.3.1** of IS 1893 (Part 1).

9.1.2 *Soil-Pile Structure Interaction*

The soil-structure interaction refers to the effects of the supporting foundation medium on the motion of structure. Specialist literature may be referred to include this effect. But, maximum reduction in the base shear on account of soil-structure interaction shall not be more than 20 percent of base shear of fixed base structure.

The soil-structure interaction shall not be considered in the seismic analysis of structures supported on rock or hard soil or rock-like material (with $N > 50$ or $V_s \ge 760$ m/s).

9.2 Interaction Effects between Primary and Secondary Systems

The design seismic forces on the structure (primary system) and equipment (secondary system) falling under Categories 2, 3 and 4 shall be considered as per **9.2.1** and **9.2.2**. For primary and secondary systems falling under Category 1, the design seismic forces shall be estimated as per **9.2.3**.

9.2.1 For estimation of design seismic forces in primary systems, interaction effects between structure and equipment shall be considered as given below:

9.2.1.1 Wherever secondary system is rigidly supported or fastened to the floor of the primary system, the secondary system mass M_R , shall be considered as lumped mass at its support location appropriately. No interaction between the primary and secondary systems need to be considered.

9.2.1.2 For flexibly mounted secondary system with mass, M_F , that is, equipment mounted on *isolators*, one of the following two procedures shall be adopted:

- a) If $\frac{M_F}{M}$ $\frac{m_F}{M_{\text{ps}} + M_F}$ < 0.20, no interaction needs to be considered between the primary and secondary systems. In such a case, M_F should be considered as lumped mass at appropriate locations.
- b) If $\frac{M_F}{M}$ $\frac{m_{\text{F}}}{M_{\text{ps}} + M_{\text{F}}} \ge 0.20$, the interaction between the primary and secondary systems shall be considered by suitably modelling the flexibly mounted support system while considering the equipment as lumped mass (coupled analysis).

9.2.2 For estimation of design seismic forces in secondary systems falling under Categories 2, 3 and 4, one of the following two procedures shall be adopted:

- a) Decoupling criteria as given in [Annex D](#page-39-0) shall be used for the interaction effects.
- b) Specialist literature on design on secondary system shall be referred.

9.2.3 For Category 1 structures**,** the design seismic forces shall be estimated considering the decoupling criteria as given in [Annex D](#page-39-0) for the interaction effects between primary system (structure) and secondary system (equipment).

9.3 Design Base Shear

The fundamental natural period of different industrial structures varies considerably depending upon structural configuration, height of the structure, soil conditions and appropriate percent of SIDL, IL and MSIL, as per applicable clauses. A single generalized formula for the natural period may not cover all such structures and may lead to erroneous results in certain cases. Hence, no simple guidelines can be given for estimation of natural period of industrial structures.

Whenever masonry infill walls contribute to the inplane stiffness of the structure, two different mathematical models are required to be considered during design. In the first mathematical model, the stiffness of infill wall panel shall be accounted and modeled as diagonal struts. IS 1893 (Part 1) shall be referred for modeling of equivalent diagonal strut and for estimation of in-plane stiffness of the masonry infill walls. This model shall be used for estimation of natural periods and storey drifts. The base shear of the model V_{BI} shall be estimated from this model.

The second mathematical model shall be a model of the bare frame structure without considering the stiffness of the infills. The mass of the infill shall be duly considered in the model. The second model shall be used for the estimation of forces in the structural members. The base shear of this model, V_{BB} , shall be enhanced by the ratio of $V_{\text{B}}/V_{\text{BB}}$ to obtain the design base shear. Similar amplification by this ratio shall be carried out for all member seismic forces. But, this amplification shall not be applied to storey drifts.

The formulae given in IS 1893 (Part 1), for estimation of natural period for buildings (*see* **7.6**) are not applicable for industrial structures. Therefore, there is no need to increase base shear, $\overline{V_B}/V_B$ for industrial structures, as recommended for buildings in IS 1893 (Part 1).

The natural period shall be estimated by Eigen value analysis of the structural mathematical model developed in accordance with **[9.1](#page-17-0)**, **9.2** and **9.3**.

9.3.1 For preliminary design, the fundamental natural period can be established based on its static deflection under mass proportional loading in each of the three principal directions. The structure is analyzed by applying a force equal to the weight of the structure or equipment at each corresponding node in X, Y or Z direction (one at a time) and corresponding deflection δ is evaluated in X, Y and Z direction.

The fundamental natural period T (in s), would then be:

$$
T=2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{g}},
$$

where δ is the maximum value of deflection of the structure (maxima out of δ_{x} , δ_{y} and δ_{z}) and g is acceleration due to gravity, both of which are taken in consistent units.

9.3.1.1 Where the founding soil is soft soil, the effect of the same shall also be considered in the model for estimation of static deflection.

9.4 Damping

The damping ratio to be used in determining spectral acceleration coefficient $\left(\frac{S_a}{S_a}\right)$ $\left(\frac{b}{g}\right)$ depends on the material. The recommended damping ratios are given in Table 5.

9.4.1 For combined structures with more than one

material, damping ratio shall be determined based on well established procedures.

9.4.2 If such a composite damping ratio is not evaluated, it shall be taken as that corresponding to material having lower damping.

9.4.3 When soil-structure interaction effects are considered, the composite modal damping values shall be estimated. The estimated composite modal damping values shall be limited to 20 percent of critical damping.

9.4.4 The damping ratio of water and other liquids contained in tanks shall be considered as 0.5 percent unless otherwise determined. For other liquids in sloshing mode, specialist literature shall be referred for damping ratio.

9.4.5 The damping ratio of granular materials contained in tanks and silos shall be considered as 10 percent.

Table 5 Damping Ratio for Different Construction Materials

10 DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

10.1 Dynamic analysis shall be performed for the three orthogonal (two horizontal and one vertical) components of earthquake motion resulting in evaluation of earthquake loads EL_X , EL_Y , EL_Z on the structure. The influence of $P - \Delta$ effect (*see* **[10.5](#page-21-0)**) as well as torsion (*see* **[10.6](#page-21-0)**) shall be included in the dynamic analysis.

Detailed dynamic analysis (*see* **[10.3](#page-20-0)**) shall be carried out for:

a) Structures of Category 1 in all seismic zones;

- b) Structures of Category 2 in Seismic Zones III, IV and V; and
- c) Structures of Category 3 in Seismic Zones IV and V.

Simplified dynamic analysis (*see* **[10.4](#page-21-0)**) may be carried out for:

- a) Structures of Category 2 in Seismic Zone II;
- b) Structures of Category 3 in Seismic Zones II and III; and
- c) Structures of Category 4 in all seismic zones.

10.2 Those Category 4 structures which are identified as buildings and whose failure does not affect plant performance, may be analyzed and designed in accordance with the provisions of IS 1893 (Part 1).

10.3 Detailed Analysis

Detailed dynamic analysis shall be performed by either the response history method or the response spectrum method.

10.3.1 *Response History Method*

- a) Specialist literature shall be referred while carrying out nonlinear response history analysis of Category 1 structures subjected to seismic loads for study of safety against collapse (*see* [Table 2\)](#page-13-0).
- b) All industrial structures shall be designed using linear analysis and all deflection and drifts are also limited as per linear analysis approach.
- c) The floor response spectra for use in design of secondary systems (like piping and equipment supports) shall be generated by linear response history analysis from linear structural analysis or by a direct spectra-tospectra method within their established range of applicability.

10.3.2 *Response Spectrum Method*

Analysis using Response Spectrum Method shall be performed using the spectra specified in **[7.3.2](#page-10-0)** or by a site-specific spectra mentioned in **[7.3.1](#page-11-0)**.

10.3.2.1 Sufficiently large number of modes shall be used for response spectrum method to include the influence of at least 90 percent of the total seismic mass. The modal seismic mass shall be evaluated as per **10.3.2.2**.

10.3.2.2 *Modal Mass*

The modal mass M_k in mode ' k' is given as:

$$
M_{\rm k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [W_i \varphi_{ik}]^2}{g \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(\varphi_{ik})^2}
$$

where

 $g =$ acceleration due to gravity;

- φ_{ik} = mode shape coefficient at floor *i* in mode k :
- W_i = seismic weight of floor *i* of the structure; and
- $n =$ number of floors of the structure.

10.3.2.3 *Modal Combination*

The peak response quantities (for example, member forces, displacements, storey forces, storey shears, and base reactions) shall be combined as per complete quadratic combination (CQC) method as follows:

$$
\lambda = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_i \rho_{ij} \lambda_j}
$$

where

- λ = estimate of peak response quantity;
 λ_i = response quantity of mode *i* (with s
- λ_i = response quantity of mode *i* (with sign);
 λ_i = response quantity of mode *j* (with sign);
- λ_j = response quantity of mode *j* (with sign);
 ρ_{ii} = cross-modal correlation coefficient,
- cross-modal correlation coefficient, expressed as

$$
\rho_{ij} = \frac{8 \zeta^2 (1+\beta) \beta^{1.5}}{(1-\beta^2)^2 + 4 \zeta^2 \beta (1+\beta^2)}
$$

- = number of modes being considered;
- ζ = modal damping ratio as specified in **[9.4](#page-19-0)**;
- β = frequency ratio = $\frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i}$
- ω_i = circular natural frequency in mode *i*; and
- ω_i = circular natural frequency in mode j.

Alternately, the peak response quantities may be combined as follows:

a) If the structure does not have closelyspaced modes, then the peak response quantity λ due to all modes considered shall be obtained as:

$$
\lambda = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^r\ \big(\mathcal{X}_k\big)^2}
$$

where

- λ_k = peak response quantity in mode k : and
- $r =$ number of modes being considered.
- b) If the structure has a few closely-spaced modes, then the peak response quantity λ^* due to these closely-spaced modes alone shall be obtained as:

$$
\lambda^* = \sum_{\rm c} |\lambda_{\rm c}|
$$

where

 λ_c = Peak response quantity in closely spaced mode c. The summation is for the closely spaced modes only. Then this peak response quantity λ^* due to the closely spaced modes is combined with those of the remaining well-separated modes by the method described above.

10.4 Simplified Dynamic Analysis

Simplified dynamic analysis shall be carried out by applying equivalent static seismic forces along each of the three principal directions. The horizontal seismic coefficient A_h shall be determined in accordance with **[7.3.1](#page-10-0)** for site-specific spectra or **[7.3.2](#page-10-0)** for design spectrum given in this standard, as the case may be, using fundamental natural period T as per **[9.3.1](#page-19-0)**.

Design vertical seismic coefficient A_v shall be in accordance with **6.4.6** of IS 1893 (Part 1).

The seismic force at each node in each of the three directions shall be equal to the product of its seismic weight and corresponding seismic coefficient.

10.5 $P - \Delta$ **Effect**

Structures in all categories and in all seismic zones shall be analyzed to account for the $P - \Delta$ effect.

10.6 Torsion

The torsional effect of accidental eccentricity shall be considered for structures of Category 4 in all seismic zones.

This effect shall be considered for structures with rigid floors or diaphragms. This effect shall be applied as an additional torsional moment equal to product of the seismic force at floor level and 5 percent of the structure dimension perpendicular to the earthquake direction at the centre of mass of the floor.

10.6.1 The design eccentricity e_{di} to be used at floor i shall be taken as:

$$
e_{\text{di}} = \begin{cases} (a) \ 1.5 \ e_{\text{si}} + 0.05 \ b_{\text{i}} \\ (b) \ e_{\text{si}} - 0.05 \ b_{\text{i}} \end{cases}
$$

Analysis shall be performed for both cases, and at the member force level, the more severe effect of the two cases shall be considered.

$$
e_{si}
$$
 = static eccentricity at floor *i*; and

$$
b_i =
$$
 floor plan dimension of floor *i*,
perpendicular to direction of force

The factor 1.5 represents dynamic amplification factor, and $0.05b_i$ the extent of accidental eccentricity. The above amplification of 1.5 need not be used when performing structural analysis by the dynamic analysis method.

NOTES

1 For the purposes of this clause, all Steel or Aluminum flooring system shall be considered as flexible unless properly designed floor bracings have been provided.

2 Reinforced concrete flooring systems in steel structures shall be considered flexible, unless formally designed floor bracings are provided.

3 Reinforced concrete flooring system at a level shall be considered rigid only if the total area of all the cut-outs at that level is less than 25 percent of the floor plan area at that level.

11 DEFORMATION

11.1 Storey Drift Limitation

The storey drift in any storey of a structure shall not exceed 0.004 times the storey height, under the action of base shear V_{BI} with partial safety factor for all loads taken as 1.0.

11.2 Separation Between Adjacent Units

To avoid damage due to pounding between two adjacent structures, or due to two adjacent units of the same structure, they shall be separated by a distance equal to the square root of sum of squares of inelastic storey displacements, R_1 times Δ_1 and R_2 times Δ_2 evaluated as per **11.1**, given by

$$
\Delta_{\rm sep} = \sqrt{(R_1 \Delta_1)^2 + (R_2 \Delta_2)^2},
$$

where R_1 and Δ_1 correspond to structure 1, and R_2 and Δ_2 correspond to structure 2.

12 MISCELLANEOUS

12.1 Foundations

Isolated RC footings without tie beams or unreinforced strip foundations, shall not be permitted in soft soils (with corrected $N < 10$) in any seismic zone. Use of foundations that are vulnerable to significant differential settlement due to ground shaking, shall not be used for structures in Seismic Zones III, IV and V. In Seismic Zones IV and V, individual spread footings or pile caps shall be interconnected with ties (*see* **5.3.4.1** of IS 4326), except when individual spread footings are directly supported on rock. All ties shall be capable of carrying, in tension and in compression, an axial force equal to $A_h/4$ times the larger of the column or pile cap load, in addition to the otherwise computed forces, subjected to a minimum of 5 percent of the larger of the column or pile cap loads. Here, *A*h is as per **[7.3.1](#page-10-0)** or **[7.3.2](#page-10-0)**.

In case the interconnection between individual spread footings or pile caps is not feasible due to interference between foundations (such as dynamic equipment foundations, large span equipment foundations etc), it shall be demonstrated that equivalent restraint is provided by RC beams within slabs on grade or RC slabs on grade or confinement by competent rock, hard cohesive soils, very dense granular soils or other approved means.

Wherever piles are provided, these shall be designed and constructed to withstand the effects of maximum response induced in the part of the structure above the foundation under earthquake ground shaking. Design of anchorage of piles into pile cap shall consider combined effects, including that of axial forces due to uplift and bending moments due to fixity to pile cap.

Pile foundations shall have the capacity to resist the effect due to inertia forces induced by earthquake ground shaking from the superstructure and the foundation.

12.2 Cantilever Projections

12.2.1 *Vertical Projections*

Towers, tanks, parapets and other vertical cantilever projections attached to structures and projecting above the roof, but not a part of structural system, shall be designed for five times the design horizontal seismic coefficient A_h specified in **[7.3.1](#page-10-0)** and **[7.3.2](#page-10-0)**. In the analysis of the structure, weights of these projecting elements shall be lumped with the roof weight.

12.2.2 *Horizontal Projections*

All cantilever structural members of structure or all horizontal projections attached to structures (like brackets, cornices and balconies) shall be designed for five times the design vertical acceleration coefficient A_v specified in $\overline{7.4}$ $\overline{7.4}$ $\overline{7.4}$.

12.2.3 The increased design forces specified in **12.2.1** and **12.2.2** are only for designing the projecting parts and their connections with the main structures, and not for the design of the main structure.

12.3 Non-Structural Elements in Industrial Structures

Specialist literature shall be referred for earthquake resistant design of non-structural elements (NSE) of industrial systems (such as cable trays, bus ducts, HVAC ducting, piping, etc). The provisions given in IS 16700 may be used.

12.4 Additional Provisions

12.4.1 *Structure Supporting Cranes*

While evaluating earthquake ground shaking effects on the structure supporting cranes in horizontal direction, the seismic mass of cranes moving and trolleys without payloads shall be considered. Payloads shall only be considered for the effects due to vertical shaking. In case of more than one crane in a bay or in parallel bays, the design shall consider a load combination with all the cranes unloaded and parked at the most unfavourable position.

12.4.2 *Liquid Storage Tanks*

12.4.2.1 For seismic design of liquid storage tanks in an industrial structure, its category shall be as per [Table 7,](#page-26-0) the Importance Factor shall be as per [Table 3](#page-15-0) and the design shall be carried out as per IS 1893 (Part 2).

12.4.2.2 For the seismic design of ground supported flat bottom liquid storage tanks, that are not covered by IS 1893 (Part 2), specialist literature shall be referred. For such tanks, the Category shall be as per [Table 7](#page-26-0) and the Importance Factor shall be as per [Table 3.](#page-15-0)

12.4.2.3 Foundations and footings for mechanicallyanchored flat-bottom tanks shall be proportioned to resist peak anchor uplift and overturning bearing pressure. Overturning stability shall be evaluated for uplift due to seismic moment. The factor of safety against overturning stability shall be at least 2.0.

12.4.2.4 Content weight and soil load directly over the ring-wall and footing may be used to resist the maximum anchor uplift on the foundation, provided the ring-wall and footing are designed to carry the eccentric loading.

12.4.3 *Anchorages*

12.4.3.1 Anchorage design shall be based on ductile design philosophy. Common types of concrete and steel failure modes for anchors are due to:

a) Brittle failure:

- 1 Failure of the concrete part considering concrete breakout strength of anchor in tension;
- 2 Pullout strength of anchor in tension;
- 3 Side-face blowout of concrete due to tensile force in anchor bolts with headed or hooked ends;
- 4 Bond strength of adhesive anchor in tension;
- 5 Concrete breakout strength of anchor in shear; and
- 6 Concrete pry-out strength of anchor in shear as applicable.
- b) Ductile Failure: Failure of the anchors based on steel strength of anchor in tension, and steel strength of anchor in shear.

12.4.3.2 The following shall be ensured in design of ductile anchorage systems:

- a) Anchoring capacity of the concrete shall be greater than 1.2 times that of the nominal tensile capacity of the anchor bolt;
- b) Anchor bolt shall be designed for tension, shear and combination of shear & tension. Out of these, the design shall be made to govern by tension criterion; and
- c) Friction between the base plate and the foundation shall not be considered in the design of anchorage elements while transferring shear forces to the foundation system.

Specialist literature shall be consulted for calculating the concrete anchor capacity.

12.4.4 *Structural Considerations During Maintenance*

In industrial structures, key structural elements that are part of seismic-force resisting system may be required to be temporarily removed, as dictated during the erection, replacement and maintenance of large size equipment and machinery. Such removal

of structural elements may result in change in centre of resistance leading to alternate load path for resisting seismic forces than originally designed. For such structures, seismic analysis shall be carried out for both the conditions, that is, with and without removal of these key structural elements. Further, structural stability shall be assessed under both of these conditions.

12.4.5 *Bunkers and Silos*

The requirements shall be as given hereunder:

- a) Categories indicated in [Tables 7](#page-26-0) and [8](#page-28-0) for Bunkers and Silos are applicable to all RCC and steel bunkers and silos as well as RCC Silos supported on RCC frame and RCC shafts;
- b) For design of Silos and Bunkers, seismic forces shall be estimated considering full range of material loading, that is, from empty condition to full condition. The most critical condition shall be adopted for design;
- c) The effects of earthquake ground shaking in the supporting structure shall be estimated assuming that the particulate contents move together with the silo shell and modelling them with their effective mass at their centre of gravity; and
- d) The unit weight of stored material used for computing seismic forces shall be same as that used for all load calculations.

12.4.6 *Pre-Engineered Steel Structures*

Pre-Engineered steel industrial structures (for example, Pre-engineered Buildings) shall be checked for adequacy against earthquake effects as per the provisions of this standard. Steel design and detailing, member sizing, check for deflections and minimum member thickness shall also conform to IS 800 and IS 18168. Loads and load combinations shall be as per IS 800 and IS 1893 (Part 1).

Table 6 Categorization of Industrial Structures

(*[Foreword](#page-1-0)*, *[Clause](#page-11-0)* 8.1.3)

Table 6 (*Continued*)

B. Utilities

[Water system (Intake, Conveying, Treatment, Storage and Pumping), ETP/ STP/Waste water treatment plant, DM water, Condensate polishing, RO plant, Cooling water system, Fire protection/Extinguishing, Fighting system, Air separation unit, Nitrogen/Oxygen plant, Fume and Gas treatment plant, Captive power plant, Service buildings]

SI No.	Structures	Category
xiii)	Control and instrumentation building	3
xiv)	Conveyor galleries	3
XV)	Crusher house	3
xvi)	Cryogenic hydrocarbon handling and dozing building	1
xvii)	DCP and desludge building	2
xviii)	Digester	2
xix)	DG building & DG foundation	2
XX)	Dirty and clean oil building	2
xxi)	DM plant	2
xxii)	Effluent treatment plant	3
xxiii)	Electrostatic precipitator structure	2
xxiv)	Fire station	2
XXV)	Fire water pump house	2
xxvi)	Fire water reservoir	2
xxvii)	Flare stack supporting structure	2
xxviii)	Flare trestle	2
x x i x	Fuel oil pump house	2
XXX)	H ₂ plant building	1
xxxi)	Machine foundations for utilities (motors, compressor, pumps, fans, etc)	3
xxxiii)	Mechanical draught cooling tower (induced or forced)	2
xxxiii)	Microwave towers	2
xxxiv)	Overhead water tank	3
XXXV)	Pipe rack (hydrogen, DM, power plant)	2
xxxvi)	Pipe rack offsite	3
xxxvii)	Pump house (water and effluents, etc)	3
xxxviii)	Road and rail loading gantry handling non-inflammable, non hazardous material	3
xxxix)	Road and rail loading gantry handling LPG or hydrocarbons	2
xl)	Switchgear building and substations	3
xli)	Switchyard structures	3
xlii)	Technological structures in RCC or steel or both	2
xliii)	Water intake structure	3
xliv)	Water treatment plant	3

Table 6 (*Continued*)

C. Storage and Handling

(Raw material, Intermediate product, Final product, Bulk storage of chemicals)

Table 6 (*Concluded*)

Table 7 Categorization of Industrial Stack-Like Structures and Ground Supported Vertical Cylindrical

Structures

Table 7 (*Concluded*)

B. Utilities

[Water system (Intake, Conveying, Treatment, Storage and Pumping), ETP/STP/Waste water treatment plant, DM water, Condensate polishing, RO plant, Cooling water system, Fire protection/Extinguishing Fighting system, Air separation unit, Nitrogen/Oxygen plant, Fume and Gas treatment plant, Captive power plant, Service buildings]

C. Storage and Handling

Table 8 Categorization of Vessels, Equipment and Piping

(*[Foreword](#page-1-0)*, *[Clauses](#page-11-0)* 8.1.3 *and* [12.4.5\)](#page-23-0)

B. Utilities

[Water system (Intake, Conveying, Treatment, Storage and Pumping), ETP/STP/Waste water treatment plant, DM water, Condensate polishing, RO plant, Cooling water system, Fire protection/Extinguishing Fighting system, Air separation unit, Nitrogen/Oxygen plant, Fume and Gas treatment plant, Captive power plant, Service buildings, Pharmaceutical Industry]

Table 8 (*Concluded*)

NOTES

1 For the structures and equipment not included herein, the category shall be selected by the designer considering the classification defined in **[8.1.](#page-11-0)**

2 For design of supporting structures and foundations which have not been listed in the above table, the category shall be selected same as that of the supported equipment or vessel or piping.

SECTION 2 STACK-LIKE STRUCTURES

13 DESIGN CRITERIA

Stack-like structures are those in which the mass and stiffness is more or less uniformly distributed along the height. Cantilever structures like reinforced or pre-stressed cement concrete electric poles; reinforced concrete and steel chimneys (including multi-flue chimneys), ventilation stacks and refinery vessels are examples of such structures. Guyed structures are not covered here.

14 NATURAL PERIOD OF VIBRATION

Natural period of vibration, *T* of such structures when fixed at base, shall be estimated using either of the following two formulae given (*see* **[14.1](#page-30-0)** and **[14.2](#page-30-0)**). The formulae given at **[14.1](#page-30-0)**, is more accurate. Only one of these two formulae should be used for design. Natural period of structure, if available, through vibration measurement on similar structure and foundation soil condition can also be adopted.

14.1 The fundamental natural period *T* for stack-like structures is given by:

$$
T = C_{\rm T} \sqrt{\frac{W_{\rm t}h}{E_{\rm s}Ag}}
$$

where

- C_T = coefficient based on the slenderness ratio of the structure given in [Table 9;](#page-31-0)
- W_t = total weight of the structure including weight of lining and contents above the base;
- $h =$ height of structure above the base;
- E_s = modulus of elasticity of material of the structural shell;
- $A = \text{area of cross-section at the}$ base of the structural shell, for circular sections, $A = 2\pi r_s t$, where r_s is the mean radius of structural shell and t is thickness; and
- $q =$ acceleration due to gravity.

NOTE — This formula is only applicable to stack-like structures in which both mass and stiffness are more or less uniformly distributed along the height.

14.2 The fundamental natural period, T of a stack like structure can be determined by Rayleigh's approximation for fundamental mode of vibration as follows:

$$
T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \delta_i^2}{g \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i \delta_i}}
$$

where

- W_i = weight at level *i*;
- F_i = lateral force at level *i*;
- δ_i = lateral displacement at level *i*;
- $n =$ number of levels of lumped weight; and
- = acceleration due to gravity.

NOTES

1 Any elastic analysis procedure like moment area theorem or matrix method may be used for determining the lateral static deflection δ value.

2 For determining the fundamental natural period of vibration of structures resting on frames or skirts like bins, silos, hyperbolic cooling towers, refinery columns, only the formula given at **14.2** shall be used. Approximate methods may be adopted to estimate the lateral stiffness of

 the frame or skirt in order to determine the lateral static deflection. Response spectrum analysis will be necessary in such cases.

15 DAMPING

in determining $\left(\frac{s_a}{g}\right)$, are given in the [Table 5.](#page-19-0) The damping ratio for different materials, to be used

16 HORIZONTAL SEISMIC FORCE

Horizontal seismic coefficient A_h shall be obtained corresponding to natural period T , as per 14 .

For site-specific spectra: $A_h = \left(\frac{S_a}{g}\right)_{SS}$ \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{R}

For design spectrum given in this standard: $A_{\rm h} = \frac{z}{2}$ $rac{Z}{2} \left(\frac{S_a}{g} \right)$ $\frac{S_a}{g}$) $\frac{I}{I}$ R

(For nomenclature, refer **[7.3.1](#page-10-0)** and **[7.3.2](#page-10-0)**)

Based on the design horizontal seismic coefficient A_h , the design lateral force shall be computed. The horizontal earthquake force shall be considered acting in one lateral direction at a time.

For estimation of seismic forces on stack-like structures mounted on building or structure, the following provisions shall apply:

The stack-like structure shall be analyzed based on a model considering the flexibility of the supporting structure and connecting component between the stack and the supporting structure.

When the decoupled dynamic analysis of the structure mounted stack-like structure is carried out, it shall be performed by either the response history method or the response spectrum method using the floor acceleration time series or floor response spectra as input for subsystem analysis.

17 DESIGN SHEAR FORCE AND MOMENT

Either simplified method (equivalent static lateral force method) or response spectrum analysis method may be used to estimate the seismic forces developed in such structures. Site-specific spectrum compatible response history analysis may be carried out instead of response spectrum analysis.

The expressions given in simplified method for evaluating the shear force and bending moment above the base are to be used when the first mode contributes maximum towards base shear. When higher modes influence the response, dynamic analysis shall be performed.

17.1 Simplified Method (Equivalent Static Lateral Force Method)

When the first mode contributes to over 80 percent of the base shear, the design shear force V and design bending moment M at a distance x from the top, shall be estimated using the following formulae:

$$
V = C_{v} A_{h} W_{t} D_{v}
$$
, and

 $M =$

$$
A_{\rm h}\,W_{\rm t} \bar h\,D_{\rm m}
$$

where

- $C_{\rm v}$ = coefficient of shear force
depending on slenderness depending on ratio, *k*, given in Table 9;
- A_h = design horizontal seismic
coefficient determined in determined accordance with **[16](#page-30-0)**;
- W_t = total weight of structure including weight of lining and contents above the base;
- \bar{h} = height of centre of gravity of structure above base; and
- $D_v, l =$ distribution factors for shear and moment respectively at a distance $\mathcal X$ from the top as given in [Table 10.](#page-32-0) The
expressions for these expressions for these
distribution factors for distribution moment and shear along the height is given in [Table 11](#page-32-0) for use in computer program.

The appropriate foundation soil and pile group stiffness equations are given in [Table 12.](#page-33-0) For $N > 50$, fixed based condition may be assumed. When soil-structure interaction effects are to be considered, shear wave velocities are to be determined by suitable methods. Specialist literature may be referred to include this effect. But, maximum reduction in the base shear on account of

soil-structure interaction shall not be more than 20 percent of base shear of fixed base structure. The classification of soil shall be as given in [Table 1B](#page-9-0).

17.2 Dynamic Analysis (Response Spectrum Method)

When higher modes contribute significantly to the base shear, dynamic analysis shall be carried out by the response spectrum method. The number of modes to be considered in the analysis shall be such that the minimum excited mass is 90 percent. The modes shall be combined by modal combination of corresponding response, like base shear and overturning moment.

17.2.1 *Mathematical Model*

The mathematical model of stack like structures should be able to represent sufficiently the variation in its stiffness (variation in cross-section and thickness of shell), lining mass, intermediate loadings due to various appurtenances and other geometrical changes. The number of elements shall be such as to capture the variation of stiffness and mass of the system.

When a stack is modelled as a stick-model, a minimum of ten elements shall be used for the analysis. It is desirable that the model yields frequencies up to 33 Hz. If this is not achieved, the number of elements shall be increased such that frequencies up to 33 Hz are achieved. The number of elements shall be further increased to include any of above geometrical changes in the model. For stack-like structures with complex geometries and non-uniform mass distribution, finite element analysis shall be conducted.

In chimneys, no stiffness is considered to be provided by the lining but, the mass of lining above any corbel or support is considered to be lumped at the respective corbel or support level.

Table 9 Values of C_T and C_V

Sl No. $k = h/r_e$ C_T C_V (1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) i) 5 14.4 1.02 ii) 10 21.2 1.12 iii) 15 29.6 1.19 iv) 20 38.4 1.25 v) 25 47.2 1.30 vi) 30 56.0 1.35 vii) 35 65.0 1.39 viii) 40 73.8 1.43

(*[Clauses](#page-30-0)* 14.1 *and* 17.1)

(*[Clause](#page-31-0)* 17.1)

Table 11 Values of D_m and D_v

(*[Clause](#page-31-0)* 17.1)

Table 12 Foundation Soil and Foundation Pile Group Stiffness

(*[Clause](#page-31-0)* 17.1)

18 SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE STACKS AND CHIMNEYS

18.1 The total vertical reinforcement shall not be less than 0.25 percent of the concrete area of the section under consideration. When two layers of reinforcement are provided, the outside layer of vertical reinforcement shall not be less than 50 percent of the total vertical reinforcement.

18.2 The total circumferential reinforcement shall not be less than 0.20 percent of the concrete area in vertical section under consideration. When two layers of reinforcement are provided, the circumferential reinforcement in each layer shall not be less than 0.1 percent of the concrete area at the section.

18.3 The circumferential reinforcement for a distance of 0.2 times the diameter of the chimney (from top of the chimney) shall be twice that arrived as stated above.

18.4 Extra reinforcement shall have to be provided in addition to the reinforcement determined by design at the sides, top, bottom and corners of the openings. The extra reinforcement shall be placed onNboth faces of the chimney shell as close to the opening as proper spacing of bars shall permit. Unless otherwise specified, all extra reinforcement shall extend past the opening to a minimum of the development length in tension.

18.5 At each side of the opening, the additional vertical reinforcement shall have an area at least equal to the design steel ratio times one-half the cross-sectional area of opening.

The additional vertical reinforcement shall be placed as close as practical to the edge of the opening within a distance not exceeding three times the shell thickness.

18.6 At the top and bottom of each opening, the additional reinforcement shall have an area at least equal to one-half the established design circumferential reinforcement interrupted by the openings.

The additional circumferential reinforcement shall be placed as close as practical to the top or bottom of the opening, within a distance not exceeding three times the shell thickness. One-half of this additional reinforcement shall extend completely around the circumference of the chimney. The other half of the

additional reinforcement shall extend beyond the vertical edges of the opening for a minimum of development length in tension.

18.7 Deflection Criterion

The maximum lateral deflection D_{max} of the top of a stack-like structure with partial safety factor for all loads taken as 1.0, shall not exceed the limits set forth by the following equation:

 D_{max} = 0.005 h

where

 D_{max} = maximum lateral deflection; and

 h = height of structure above the base.

ANNEX A

(*[Clause](#page-3-0)* 2)

LIST OF REFERRED STANDARDS

ANNEX B

(*[Clause](#page-10-0)* 7.3.2)

SEISMIC ZONE FACTORS AND MULTIPLYING FACTORS FOR OBTAINING SPECTRAL VALUES FOR OTHER DAMPING RATIOS

Table 13 Seismic Zone Factor

(*[Clause](#page-10-0)* 7.3.2)

Table 14 Multiplying Factor for Obtaining () **Values for Other Damping Ratios**

(*[Clause](#page-10-0)* 7.3.2)

NOTE — For natural period $T = 0$, the multiplying factor shall be 1. For $0 \le T \le 0.1$, the factor shall be linearly interpolated between 1 and the factor given in the Table. For $T > 0.1$, the factor shall be same as specified in the Table.

ANNEX C

(*[Forewor](#page-1-0)d*, *[Clauses](#page-10-0)* 7.3.2 *and* [16\)](#page-30-0)

DESIGN SPECTRUM

FIG. 1 (NOT TO SCALE) RESPONSE SPECTRA CORRESPONDING TO 5 PERCENT DAMPING RATIO.

(FOR OTHER DAMPING RATIOS, *see* NOTE 3 BELOW)

NOTES

1 The spectra shown above is for 5 percent damping ratio for different soil types.

2 Ratio of $\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)$ in no case shall be more than 1.0.

3 For other damping ratios, necessary interpolations shall be done using multiplying factors as given in [Table 14.](#page-37-0)

4 For determining the spectrum to be used in estimating $\left(\frac{S_a}{g}\right)$, the type of soil on which the structure is placed shall be identified by the classification given in Table 15.

Table 15 Classification of Soil

* Weighted average shall be considered of corrected values of *N* or shear wave velocity up to 30 m, for the layered strata.

37

ANNEX D

(*[Clause](#page-18-0)* 9.2, [9.2.3\)](#page-18-0)

DE-COUPLING CRITERIA FOR CATEGORY 1 STRUCTURES

D-1 For Category 1 structures, decoupling criteria given below shall be used for the interaction effects between primary system (structure) and secondary system (equipment).

D-1.1 The participation factor for mode j shall be:

$$
\Gamma_j = \frac{\{\varphi_j\}^T [M] \{U_\text{b}\}}{\{\varphi_j\}^T [M] \{\varphi_j\}}
$$

where

 ${M}$ = mass matrix of the structural system;

 $\{\varphi_j\}$ = normalized mode shape of mode j, where $\{\varphi_j\}^T [M] \{\varphi_j\} = 1$; and

 ${U_b}$ = influence vector (displacement vector) of the structural system when the base is displaced by unity in the direction of earthquake motion.

D-2 All combinations of the dominant secondary system modes and the dominant primary system modes shall be considered, and the most restrictive combination shall govern.

D-3 Coupled analysis of primary structure and secondary system shall be performed when the

effects of interaction are significant based on **[D-9](#page-40-0)** and **[D-10.](#page-40-0)**

D-4 Coupling need not be considered, if the total mass of the equipment or secondary system is 1 percent or less of the mass of the supporting primary structure. But, the requirements of **[D-10](#page-40-0)** regarding the multiple supports shall be considered.

D-5 In applying **[D-9](#page-40-0)** and **[D-10](#page-40-0)**, one sub-system at a time may be considered, unless the sub-systems are identical and located together, in which case the subsystem masses shall be lumped together.

D-6 When coupling is required, a detailed model of the equipment or secondary system is not required, provided a simple model adequately represents the major effects of interaction between the two parts. When a simple model is used, the secondary system shall be re-analyzed in appropriate detail using the output motions from the first analysis as input at the points of connectivity.

D-7 For applying the criteria of this section, the dominant frequency shall have a modal mass greater than 20 percent of the total system mass. The total system mass is defined by:

$$
[M] = \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\Gamma_j)^2
$$

where

 Γ _j = Participation factor for mode *j*; and $n_{\rm m}$ = Number of modes.

D-8 When detailed analysis is to be carried out for structures with equipment attached at a single point, the coupling criteria shown in Fig. 2 shall be used. The mass ratio in Fig. 2 is the modal mass ratio computed as per **D-9**, and the frequency ratio is the ratio of dominant uncoupled modal frequencies of the secondary and primary systems.

D-9 For a secondary system dominant mode and the primary system mode *i*, the modal mass ratio can be estimated by:

$$
\Lambda_i = \frac{M_s}{M_{\text{pi}}}
$$

;

where

$$
M_{\rm pi} = (1/\varphi_{\rm ci})^2
$$

$$
\varphi_{ci} = \text{mode vector value from theprimary system's modaldisplacement at the locationwhere the secondary system isconnected, from the normalizedmodal vector $\{\varphi_{pi}\}$, in mode *i*,
 $\{\varphi_{pi}\}^{T}[M_{p}](\varphi_{pi}) = 1;$
$$

 $[M_{\rm p}]$ = mass matrix of the primary system; and M_s = total mass of the secondary system.

D-10 Multi-support secondary system shall be reviewed for the possibility of interaction of structure and equipment stiffness between the support points, and for the effect of equipment mass distribution between support points. Specialist literature shall be referred for evaluating the structural response while considering such interaction effects.

FIG. 2 DECOUPLING CRITERIA FOR EQUIPMENT OR SECONDARY SYSTEM WITH SINGLE-POINT ATTACHMENT TO THE PRIMARY SYSTEM

ANNEX E

(*[Foreword](#page-1-0)*)

COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

Earthquake Engineering Sectional Committee, CED 39

Indian Concrete Institute, Chennai DR K. P. JAYA

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai PROF RAVI SINHA

Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi PROF DIPTI RANJAN SAHOO

Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati DR HEMANT B. KAUSHIK

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur PROF DURGESH C. RAI

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai PROF A. MEHER PRASAD

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee PROF YOGENDRA SINGH

Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, Roorkee PRESIDENT

International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad

National Centre for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi

National Council for Cement and Building Materials, Ballabgarh

National Disaster Management Authority, New Delhi SHRI BISWARUP DAS

Nuclear Power Corporation India Limited, Mumbai SHRI ARVIND SHRIVASTAVA

Powergrid Corporation of India, Gurugram SHRI P. N. V. M. PRAKASH

SD Engineering Consultants LLP, New Delhi MS SANGEETA WIJ

Tandon Consultants Pvt Limited, New Delhi PROF MAHESH TANDON

Organization Representative(s)

DR DEBASHISH BANDOPADHYAY (*Alternate*)

DR ALOK GOYAL (*Alternate*)

DR SURESH RANJAN DASH

DR VASANT MATSAGAR (*Alternate*)

PROF AMIT PRASHANT DR MANISH KUMAR (*Alternate*)

DR RUPEN GOSWAMI (*Alternate*)

DR MANISH SHRIKHANDE (*Alternate* I) DR B. K. MAHESHWARI (*Alternate* II) DR P. C. ASHWIN KUMAR (*Alternate* III)

VICE PRESIDENT (*Alternate*)

DR PRADEEP KUMAR RAMANCHARLA

DR O. P. MISHRA DR H. S. MANDAL (*Alternate*)

SHRI P. N. OJHA SHRI BRIJESH SINGH (*Alternate* I) SHRI ANUP GHATAK (*ALTERNATE* II)

DR SUSANTA KUMAR JENA (*Alternate* I) SHRI MOHAMMAD JAVED IQBAL (*Alternate* II)

NTPC Ltd, New Delhi DR PRAVEEN KHANDELWAL SHRI SAURABH GUPTA (*Alternate*)

SHRI RAGHUPATI ROY (*Alternate*)

SHRI AKASH SHRIVASTAVA (*Alternate*)

SHRI VINAY K. GUPTA (*Alternate*)

Member Secretary SHRI JITENDRA KUMAR CHAUDHARY SCIENTIST 'B'/ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (CIVIL ENGINEERING), BIS

Composition of the Working Groups, WG 18, 19 & 20

Composition of the Former Working Group

Tris Page 1865 been intertionally left bank

(*[Continued from second cover](#page-1-0)*)

The composition of the Committee responsible for the formulation of this standard is given in Annex E.

This standard contributes to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 9: 'Industry, innovation and infrastructure', particularly its target to develop quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient infrastructure, and also promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization.

For the purpose of deciding whether a particular requirement of this standard is complied with, the final value, observed or estimated, expressing the result of a test or analysis, shall be rounded off in accordance with IS 2 : 2022 'Rules for rounding off numerical values (*second revision*)'. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act*, 2016 to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

Copyright

Headquarters:

BIS has the copyright of all its publications. No part of these publications may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BIS. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details, such as symbols and sizes, type or grade designations. Enquiries relating to copyright be addressed to the Head (Publication & Sales), BIS.

Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the websitewww.bis.gov.in or www.standardsbis.in.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc No.:CED 39 (18642).

Amendments Issued Since Publication

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

Branches : AHMEDABAD. BENGALURU. BHOPAL. BHUBANESHWAR. CHANDIGARH. CHENNAI. COIMBATORE. DEHRADUN. DELHI. FARIDABAD. GHAZIABAD. GUWAHATI. HIMACHAL PRADESH. HUBLI. HYDERABAD. JAIPUR. JAMMU & KASHMIR. JAMSHEDPUR. KOCHI. KOLKATA. LUCKNOW. MADURAI. MUMBAI. NAGPUR. NOIDA. PANIPAT. PATNA. PUNE. RAIPUR. RAJKOT. SURAT. VISAKHAPATNAM.