

भारतीय मानक
Indian Standard

IS/ISO/IEC TR 11179-2 : 2019

[Superseding IS 16638 (Part 2) : 2018]

सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी — मेटाडेटा
रजिस्ट्रीज (एमडीआर)
भाग 2 वर्गीकरण

Information Technology — Metadata
Registries (MDR)
Part 2 Classification

ICS 35.040.50

© BIS 2022



भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो
BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS
मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110002
MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG
NEW DELHI - 110002

www.bis.gov.in www.standardsbis.in

December 2022

Price Group 7

NATIONAL FOREWORD

This Indian Standard which is identical with ISO/IEC TR 11179-2 : 2019 'Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 2 : Classification' issued by International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and International Electro technical Commission (IEC) jointly was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on the recommendations of the Data Management System Sectional Committee and approval of the Electronics and Information Technology Division Council.

This standard was originally published in 2018 as IS 16638 (Part 2) : 2018 and was identical to ISO/IEC 11179-2 : 2005. The supersession of this Standard has been undertaken to align it with the latest version of ISO/IEC TR 11179-2 : 2019 under single numbering.

Other parts of ISO/IEC 11179 series are already published as Indian standards as IS/ISO/IEC 11179-x series.

The text of ISO/IEC Standard has been approved as suitable for publication as an Indian Standard without deviations. Certain conventions are, however, not identical to those used in Indian Standards. Attention is particularly drawn to the following:

- a) Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear referring to this standard, they should be read as 'Indian Standard'.
- b) Comma (,) has been used as a decimal marker while in Indian Standards, the current practice is to use a point (.) as the decimal marker.

Contents

Page

Introduction	iv
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Meaning of classification	2
5 Benefits of classification scheme	3
6 Type of classification scheme	3
7 Requirements for good classification scheme	6
Annex A (informative) Usages of classification in an ISO/IEC 11179 metadata registry	7
Bibliography	9

Introduction

This document focuses on the part of the metadata registry (MDR) model called the *classification region* (see ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 9.2). The classification region permits the registration and administration of all or part of a *classification scheme*. A classification scheme can be used to classify a *Classifiable Item* (see ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 9.2.2.1), which is a type that can be applied to any metadata item in a metadata registry.

There are many efforts underway to devise classification schemes and to use the schemes to build and populate classification structures for organizing information resources. Classification schemes can be used to discover information pertaining to topics of interest. For the purpose of this document, the following are all considered types of classification schemes of varying discriminatory power: tags, keywords, lists of categories, hierarchies, thesauri, taxonomies and ontologies. These classification schemes have potentially great utility for organizing objects in an MDR.

When applied to classifiable items in an MDR, the classification schemes covered in this document have utility for:

- deriving and formulating other administered items;
- ensuring appropriate attribute and attribute-value inheritance;
- deriving names from a controlled vocabulary;
- disambiguating;
- recognizing superordinate, coordinate and subordinate concepts;
- recognizing relationships among items;
- deriving or clarifying the meaning of items associated with the classified items;
- assisting in the development of modularly designed names and definitions.

Each type of classification scheme mentioned above has particular strengths and weaknesses, and provides the foundation upon which particular capabilities can be built. Tags and keywords, for example, are a quick way to provide users some assistance in locating potentially useful classifiable items. A thesaurus provides a more structured approach, arranging descriptive terms in a structure of broader, narrower and related classification categories. A taxonomy provides a classification structure that adds the power of inheritance of meaning from generalized taxa to specialized taxa. Ontologies, with associated epistemologies, can provide rich, rigorously defined structures (e.g. directed acyclic graphs with multiple inheritance) that can convey information needed by software, such as intelligent agents and mediators that are useful in the provision of intelligent information services. When classification systems are used to classify data elements, or value domains, it makes it easier for end users to interpret the data that is associated with the data elements or value domains.

An example of a classification scheme that uses external standards or controlled vocabulary in registering to a metadata registry is provided in [Annex A](#).

Indian Standard

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY — METADATA REGISTRIES
(MDR)
PART 2 CLASSIFICATION

1 Scope

This document compliments ISO/IEC 11179-3 by describing registration of classification schemes and using them to classify registered items in an MDR. Any metadata item can be made a *Classifiable_Item* so it can be classified, which can include object classes, properties, representations, conceptual domains, value domains, data element concepts and data elements themselves.

This document does not establish a particular classification scheme as pre-eminent. Sanction of a particular taxonomic approach and/or a particular epistemology is also beyond the scope of this document. These are addressed by other standards committees and/or tend to be tailored to a particular domain of discourse. The MDR can establish its own classification schemes, and other standards committees are developing or have developed normative languages for use in classification and/or particular techniques and structures that can be accommodated by this document.

Each registration authority, as described and specified in ISO/IEC 11179-6, can classify classifiable items according to the classification schemes, structures and content that it deems appropriate. In documenting the classification aspects of classifiable items, the registration authority can use the principles, methods, procedures and attributes covered in this document.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

characteristic

abstraction of a property of an *object* (3.5) or of a set of objects

Note 1 to entry: Characteristics are used for describing *concepts* (3.3).

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.4]

3.2

classification scheme

descriptive information for an arrangement or division of *objects* (3.5) into groups based on criteria such as *characteristics* (3.1), which the objects have in common

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 3.2.16]

Note 1 to entry: A classification scheme is a concept system used for classifying some objects.

3.3

concept

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of *characteristics* (3.1)

Note 1 to entry: Concepts are not necessarily bound to particular languages. They are, however, influenced by the social or cultural background which often leads to different categorizations.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.1]

3.4

concept system

set of *concepts* (3.3) structured according to the relations among them

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.11]

3.5

object

anything perceivable or conceivable

Note 1 to entry: Objects may also be material (e.g. an engine, a sheet of paper, a diamond), immaterial (e.g. a conversion ratio, a project plan), or imagined (e.g. a unicorn).

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.1.1]

3.6

relation

sense in which *concepts* (3.3) can be connected, via constituent roles

EXAMPLE Causality is a relation with two constituent roles: cause and effect.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 3.2.119]

3.7

hierarchical relation

relation between two concepts which can be either a generic relation or a partitive relation

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.20]

3.8

hierarchy

concept system in which all concepts are related in hierarchical relations that form a partial ordering

4 Meaning of classification

Classifying may refer to categorizing, the process in which ideas and objects are recognized, differentiated and understood. In general, ideas and objects can be classified by a **classification scheme**.

For the purpose of this document, a **classification scheme** defines descriptive information for an arrangement or division of objects (ideas) into groups based on criteria such as characteristics, which the objects (ideas) have in common (ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 3.2.16).

A classification scheme is represented as a **concept system** (ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013).

A **concept system** is a set of **concepts** structured according to the **relations** among them (ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 3.2.19) and inherited by the classifiable item.

A **concept** is defined as a unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of characteristics (ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, 3.2.18).

Relation is defined as a sense in which **concepts** may be connected, via constituent roles.

[Figure 1](#) depicts the above definitions of key words related with classification.




Figure 1 — Meaning of classification

5 Benefits of classification scheme

Using classification schemes for the classification of a collection of objects has many benefits. Use of one or more classification schemes is intended to provide a sound conceptual basis for the development of metadata having enhanced semantic purity and design integrity. A classification scheme: enables users to find a single object from among a large collection of objects in the metadata registry; facilitates the discovery, administration and analysis of a collection of objects; and, through inheritance, conveys semantic content that is often only incompletely specified by other attributes, such as names and definitions. It also allows a user to find objects quickly on the basis of its kind or group and makes it easier to detect duplicate objects. It conveys additional semantics (meaning) which may not be conveyed by other attributes of the object, such as its name and definition.

6 Type of classification scheme

Typical classification schemes include **controlled vocabulary**, **uncontrolled vocabulary**, **taxonomy**, **thesaurus**, and **ontology**.

Controlled vocabulary is a list of terms that have been enumerated explicitly (see [Figure 2](#)). This list is controlled by, and is available from, a controlled vocabulary registration authority. All terms in a controlled vocabulary should have an unambiguous, non-redundant definition. This is a design goal that may not be true in practice. It depends on how strict the controlled vocabulary registration authority is regarding registration of terms into a controlled vocabulary. At a minimum, the following two rules should be enforced^[5]:

- If the same term is commonly used to mean different concepts in different contexts, then its name is explicitly qualified to resolve this ambiguity.
- If multiple terms are used to mean the same thing, one of the terms is identified as the preferred term in the controlled vocabulary and the other terms are listed as synonyms or aliases.

EXAMPLE country code, language code, colour code, etc.




Figure 2 — Concept of classification (controlled vocabulary)

Uncontrolled vocabulary is a list of terms that have not been enumerated explicitly (see [Figure 3](#)).

EXAMPLE keywords, tag sets, etc.




Figure 3 — Concept of classification (uncontrolled vocabulary)

Taxonomy is a collection of controlled vocabulary terms organized into a hierarchical structure (see [Figure 4](#)). Each term in taxonomy is in one or more parent-child relationships to other terms in the taxonomy. There may be different types of parent-child relationships in a taxonomy (e.g., whole-part, genus-species, type-instance), but good practice limits all parent-child relationships to a single parent to be of the same type. Some taxonomy allows poly-hierarchy, which means that a term can have multiple parents. This means that if a term appears in multiple places in taxonomy, then it is the same term. Specifically, if a term has children in one place in taxonomy, then it has the same children in every other place where it appears[5].

EXAMPLE Dewey decimal classification (DCC), zoological taxonomy, plant taxonomy, etc.




Figure 4 — Concept of classification (taxonomy)

Thesaurus is a networked collection of controlled vocabulary terms (see [Figure 5](#)). This means that a thesaurus uses associative relationships in addition to parent-child relationships[5] such as synonyms and antonyms.

EXAMPLE Medical subject heading (MeSH), NASA thesaurus, UNESCO Thesaurus, etc.




Figure 5 — Concept of classification (thesaurus)

Ontology was defined as an explicit specification of a conceptualization[4] (see [Figure 6](#)). A formal ontology is a controlled vocabulary expressed in an ontology representation language. This language has a grammar for using vocabulary terms to express something meaningful within a specified domain of interest. The grammar contains formal constraints[5].

Ontologies are divided into axiomatized ontologies and linguistic ontologies. Axiomatized ontologies represent an understanding of a part of the world related to an information system. They may include business rules, theories and constraints, and serve as formal specifications for automatically generated database schema and applications. Linguistic ontologies may support natural language interfaces for queries of structured and unstructured data, extraction of information from text and translation systems.

EXAMPLE WordNet, geopolitical ontology, Cyc, gene ontology, etc.




Figure 6 — Concept of classification (ontology)

7 Requirements for good classification scheme

A good classification scheme should satisfy several conditions such as uniqueness, consistency, comprehensiveness, continuity, extensibility and interoperability[6]:

- **Uniqueness** – Every item of a classification scheme should be **unique** within the classification scheme. Duplication or overlapping items should not occur within a classification scheme.
- **Consistency** – The classification scheme should be made **consistent** across all items of the classification scheme. The levels or meanings of items of the classification scheme should be balanced among them.
- **Comprehensiveness** – While a classification scheme created for a specific purpose within an MDR for its own internal use may be limited to a specific domain of interest, generally the scope of a classification scheme should **comprehensively** cover all ingredients of the domain suitable to the purpose of the classification scheme. There should be no part of the domain which is unclassified by the classification scheme.
- **Continuity** – When a classification scheme is updated, the new one should provide continuity with the old one such that the concepts and any identifiers for those concepts maintain the same meaning across versions.
- **Extensibility** – A classification scheme should be **extensible** to accommodate newly added items in the future.
- **Interoperability** – A classification scheme should be **interoperable** to other classification schemes in the same domain. Interoperability of classification scheme can be enhanced through the use of a common ontology, or top level ontology.

Annex A (informative)

Usages of classification in an ISO/IEC 11179 metadata registry

A classification scheme that uses external standards or controlled vocabulary in registering to a metadata registry is as follows:

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Value</u>
<i>classification scheme name</i>	country_code
<i>classification scheme identifier</i>	country_code_3_digit
<i>classification scheme item value</i>	KOR, ...
<i>related metadata reference</i>	ISO 3166-1
<i>type of relationship</i>	alpha-numeric order

NOTE This classification scheme draws its classification scheme item terms from an ISO standard for country codes.

Usage of uncontrolled vocabulary in registering to a metadata registry is as follows:

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Value</u>
<i>classification scheme name</i>	keywords
<i>classification scheme identifier</i>	free_keyword
<i>classification scheme item value</i>	car, ...
<i>related metadata reference</i>	none
<i>type of relationship</i>	none

NOTE This classification defines its own concepts in the MDR.

A usage of a taxonomy in registering to a metadata registry is as follows:

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Value</u>
<i>classification scheme name</i>	Dewey decimal classification
<i>classification scheme identifier</i>	DCC_v22
<i>classification scheme item value</i>	100, ...
<i>related metadata reference</i>	DDC version 22th
<i>type of relationship</i>	Tree

NOTE This classification scheme draws its classification scheme item concepts from an external classification system.

A usage of a thesaurus in registering to a metadata registry is as follows:

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Value</u>
<i>classification scheme name</i>	medical subject headings
<i>classification scheme identifier</i>	MeSH_2016
<i>classification scheme item value</i>	Water, ...
<i>related metadata reference</i>	2016 MeSH
<i>type of relationship</i>	UT, LT, ...

NOTE This classification scheme draws its classification scheme item concepts from an external standard.

A usage of an ontology in registering to a metadata registry is as follows:

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Value</u>
<i>classification scheme name</i>	WordNet
<i>classification scheme identifier</i>	WordNet_3.1
<i>classification scheme item value</i>	Water, ...
<i>related metadata reference</i>	WordNet 3.1
<i>type of relationship</i>	synset, ...

NOTE This classification scheme draws its classification scheme item terms from an external standard that groups English words into sets of synonyms called synsets, provides short definitions and usage examples, and records a number of relations among these synonym sets or their members.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 31-0:1992, *Quantities and units — Part 0: General principles*
- [2] ISO/IEC 11179-1, *Information technology — Metadata registry (MDR) — Part 1: Framework*
- [3] ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013, *Information technology — Metadata registry (MDR) — Part 3: Registry Metamodel and basic attributes*
- [3] ISO/IEC 11179-6:2013, *Information technology — Metadata registry (MDR) — Part 6: Registration*
- [4] GRUBER T. R. (1993) Toward Principles for the Design of Ontologies Used for Knowledge Sharing, In *International Journal Human-Computer Studies*, **43**, pp. 907-928
- [5] WOODY PIDCOCK. *What are the differences between a vocabulary, a taxonomy, a thesaurus, an ontology, and a meta-model?* <http://www.welchco.com/02/14/60/03/01/1501.HTM#0001>
- [6] HONG S.-H., & SEO T.-S. (2004) A Preliminary Study on Interchange of Science and Technology Information through Harmonization of Classification Schemes, *Journal of Information Management*, **35**(3), pp. 109-123 (Korean)

Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act, 2016* to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

Copyright

BIS has the copyright of all its publications. No part of these publications may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BIS. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details, such as symbols and sizes, type or grade designations. Enquiries relating to copyright be addressed to the Head (Publication & Sales), BIS.

Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the website-www.bis.gov.in or www.standardsbis.in.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc No.: LITD 15 (18527).

Amendments Issued Since Publication

Amend No.	Date of Issue	Text Affected

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

Headquarters:

Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002

Telephones: 2323 0131, 2323 3375, 2323 9402

Website: www.bis.gov.in

Regional Offices:

	Telephones
Central : 601/A, Konnectus Tower -1, 6 th Floor, DMRC Building, Bhavbhuti Marg, New Delhi 110002	{ 2323 7617
Eastern : 8 th Floor, Plot No 7/7 & 7/8, CP Block, Sector V, Salt Lake, Kolkata, West Bengal 700091	{ 2367 0012 2320 9474
Northern : Plot No. 4-A, Sector 27-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh 160019	{ 265 9930
Southern : C.I.T. Campus, IV Cross Road, Taramani, Chennai 600113	{ 2254 1442 2254 1216
Western : Plot No. E-9, Road No.-8, MIDC, Andheri (East), Mumbai 400093	{ 2821 8093

Branches : AHMEDABAD. BENGALURU. BHOPAL. BHUBANESHWAR. CHANDIGARH. CHENNAI. COIMBATORE. DEHRADUN. DELHI. FARIDABAD. GHAZIABAD. GUWAHATI. HIMACHAL PRADESH. HUBLI. HYDERABAD. JAIPUR. JAMMU & KASHMIR. JAMSHEDPUR. KOCHI. KOLKATA. LUCKNOW. MADURAI. MUMBAI. NAGPUR. NOIDA. PANIPAT. PATNA. PUNE. RAIPUR. RAJKOT. SURAT. VISAKHAPATNAM.