भारतीय मानक
Indian Standard

IS/ISO 31073 : 2022

जोखिम प्रबंधन —शब्दावली

Risk Management — Vocabulary

ICS 01.040.03; 03.100.01

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो
BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS
मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110002
MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG
NEW DELHI - 110002
www.bis.gov.in   www.standardsbis.in

December 2022   **Price Group 7**

Risk Management, Security and Resilience Sectional Committee, MSD 17

NATIONAL FORWORD

This Indian Standard which is identical with ISO 31073:2022 'Risk Management – Vocabulary' issued by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on the recommendation of the Risk Management, Security and Resilience Sectional Committee MSD 17 and approval of the Management and Systems Division Council.

This standard was initially adopted as 'Risk Management – Vocabulary' in 2015, which was adoption of ISO Guide 73: 2009. This standard is aligned with ISO 31073:2022 and is adopted under single numbering superseding IS/ISO Guide 73: 2009.

The text of ISO Standard has been approved as suitable for publication as an Indian Standard without deviations. Certain conventions are, however, not identical to those used in Indian Standards. Attention is particularly drawn to the following:

a) Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear referring to this standard, they should be read as 'Indian Standard'.

Contents

Page

Introduction

This document provides basic vocabulary to develop common understanding on risk management concepts and terms among organizations and functions, and across different applications and types.

In the context of risk management terminology applicable to risks faced by organizations, it is intended that preference be given to the definitions provided in this document.

Risk management is application specific. In some circumstances, it can therefore be necessary to supplement the vocabulary in this document. Where terms related to the management of risk are used in a standard, it is imperative that their intended meanings within the context of the standard are not misinterpreted, misrepresented or misused. The terminology in this document may need to be replaced by disciplinary-specific terminology where appropriate.

In addition to managing threats to the achievement of their objectives, organizations are increasingly applying risk management processes and developing an integrated approach to risk management in order to improve the management of potential opportunities. The terms and definitions in this document are, therefore, broader in concept and application than those contained in other documents. Since organizations increasingly adopt a broader approach to the management of risk, this document addresses all applications and sectors.

This vocabulary document represents the current focus of ISO/TC 262 on the management of risks faced by organizations.

This document encourages a mutual and consistent understanding of, and a coherent approach to, the description of activities related to the management of risk, and the use of a uniform risk management terminology in processes and frameworks dealing with the management of the risks faced by organizations.

This document is intended to be used by:

— those engaged in managing risks;

— those who are involved in activities of the ISO and IEC;

— developers of national or sector-specific standards, guides, procedures and codes of practice related to the management of risk.

For principles and guidelines on risk management, see ISO 31000:2018.

Indian Standard

RISK MANAGEMENT — VOCABULARY

1 Scope

This document defines generic terms related to the management of risks faced by organizations.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

3.1 Terms related to risk

3.1.1
risk
effect of *uncertainty* (3.1.3) on *objectives* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: An effect is a deviation from the expected. It can be positive, negative or both, and can address, create or result in *opportunities* (3.3.23) and *threats* (3.3.13).

Note 2 to entry: Objectives can have different aspects and categories, and can be applied at different levels.

Note 3 to entry: Risk is usually expressed in terms of *risk sources* (3.3.10), potential *events* (3.3.11), their *consequences* (3.3.18) and their *likelihood* (3.3.16).

3.1.2
objective
result to be achieved

Note 1 to entry: An objective can be strategic, tactical or operational.

Note 2 to entry: Objectives can relate to different disciplines (such as financial, health and safety, and environmental goals) and can apply at different levels (such as strategic, organization-wide, project, product and process).

Note 3 to entry: An objective can be expressed in other ways, e.g. as an intended outcome, a purpose, an operational criterion, as a management system objective, or by the use of other words with similar meaning (e.g. aim, goal, target).

3.1.3
uncertainty
state, even partial, of deficiency of information related to understanding or knowledge

Note 1 to entry: In some cases, uncertainty can be related to the *organization's* (3.3.7) context as well as to its *objectives* (3.1.2).

Note 2 to entry: Uncertainty is the root source of *risk* (3.1.1), namely any kind of "deficiency of information" that matters in relation to objectives (and objectives, in turn, relate to all relevant *interested parties'* (3.3.2) needs and expectations).

3.2 Terms related to risk management

3.2.1
risk management
coordinated activities to direct and control an *organization* ([3.3.7](#)) with regard to *risk* ([3.1.1](#))

3.2.2
risk management policy
statement of the overall intentions and direction of an *organization* ([3.3.7](#)) related to *risk management* ([3.2.1](#))

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 2.1.2]

3.2.3
risk management plan
scheme within the risk management framework specifying the approach, the management components and resources to be applied to the management of *risk* ([3.1.1](#))

Note 1 to entry: Management components typically include procedures, practices, assignment of responsibilities, sequence and timing of activities.

Note 2 to entry: The risk management plan can be applied to a particular product, process and project, and part or whole of the *organization* ([3.3.7](#)).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 2.1.3]

3.3 Terms related to the risk management process

3.3.1
risk management process
systematic application of management policies, procedures and practices to the activities of communicating, consulting, establishing the context, and identifying, analysing, evaluating, treating, *monitoring* ([3.3.40](#)) and reviewing *risk* ([3.1.1](#))

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.1]

3.3.2
interested party
stakeholder
person or *organization* ([3.3.7](#)) that can affect, be affected by, or perceives itself to be affected by a decision or activity

3.3.3
risk perception
interested party's ([3.3.2](#)) view on *risk* ([3.1.1](#))

Note 1 to entry: Risk perception reflects the interested party's needs, issues, knowledge, beliefs and values.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.2.1.2, modified — "interested party" has replaced "stakeholder", and "risk" has replaced "a risk" in the definition.]

3.3.4
external context
external environment in which the *organization* ([3.3.7](#)) seeks to achieve its *objectives* ([3.1.2](#))

Note 1 to entry: External context can include:

— the cultural, social, political, legal, regulatory, financial, technological, economic, natural and competitive environment, whether international, national, regional or local;

— key drivers and trends having impact on the objectives of the organization; and

— relationships with, and perceptions and values of, external *interested parties* (3.3.2).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.3.1.1, modified — "interested parties" has replaced "stakeholders".]

3.3.5
internal context
internal environment in which the *organization* (3.3.7) seeks to achieve its *objectives* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: Internal context can include:

— governance, organizational structure, roles and accountabilities;

— policies, objectives, and the strategies that are in place to achieve them;

— the capabilities, understood in terms of resources and knowledge (e.g. capital, time, people, processes, systems and technologies);

— information systems, information flows and decision-making processes (both formal and informal);

— relationships with, and perceptions and values of, internal *interested parties* (3.3.2);

— the organization's culture;

— standards, guidelines and models adopted by the organization; and

— form and extent of contractual relationships.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.3.1.2, modified — "interested parties" has replaced "stakeholders".]

3.3.6
risk criteria
terms of reference against which the significance of *risk* (3.1.1) is evaluated

Note 1 to entry: Risk criteria are based on organizational *objectives* (3.1.2), and *external* (3.3.4) and *internal context* (3.3.5).

Note 2 to entry: Risk criteria can be derived from standards, laws, policies and other requirements.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.3.1.3, modified — "risk" has replaced "a risk" in the definition.]

3.3.7
organization
person or group of people that has its own functions with responsibilities, authorities and relationships to achieve its *objectives* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: The concept of organization includes, but is not limited to, sole-trader, company, corporation, firm, enterprise, authority, partnership, charity or institution, or part or combination thereof, whether incorporated or not, public or private.

3.3.8
risk assessment
overall process of *risk identification* (3.3.9), *risk analysis* (3.3.15) and *risk evaluation* (3.3.25)

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.4.1]

3.3.9
risk identification
process of finding, recognizing and describing *risks* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk identification involves the identification of *risk sources* (3.3.10), *events* (3.3.11), their causes and their potential *consequences* (3.3.18).

Note 2 to entry: Risk identification can involve historical data, theoretical analysis, informed and expert opinions, and *interested party's* (3.3.2) needs.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.5.1, modified — "interested party" has replaced "stakeholder".]

3.3.10
risk source
element which alone or in combination has the potential to give rise to *risk* (3.1.1)

3.3.11
event
occurrence or change of a particular set of circumstances

Note 1 to entry: An event can have one or more occurrences, and can have several causes and several *consequences* (3.3.18).

Note 2 to entry: An event can also be something that is expected which does not happen, or something that is not expected which does happen.

Note 3 to entry: An event can be a *risk source* (3.3.10).

3.3.12
hazard
source of potential harm

Note 1 to entry: Hazard can be a *risk source* (3.3.10).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.5.1.4]

3.3.13
threat
potential source of danger, harm, or other undesirable outcome

Note 1 to entry: A threat is a negative situation in which loss is likely and over which one has relatively little control.

Note 2 to entry: A threat to one party may pose an *opportunity* (3.3.23) to another.

3.3.14
risk owner
person or entity with the accountability and authority to manage *risk* (3.1.1)

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.5.1.5, modified — "risk" has replaced "a risk" in the definition.]

3.3.15
risk analysis
process to comprehend the nature of *risk* (3.1.1) and to determine the *level of risk* (3.3.22)

Note 1 to entry: Risk analysis provides the basis for *risk evaluation* (3.3.25) and decisions about *risk treatment* (3.3.32).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.6.1, modified —Note 2 to entry has been deleted.]

3.3.16
likelihood
chance of something happening

Note 1 to entry: In risk management terminology, the word "likelihood" is used to refer to the chance of something happening, whether defined, measured or determined objectively or subjectively, qualitatively or quantitatively, and described using general terms or mathematically [such as a *probability* (3.3.19) or a *frequency* (3.3.20)].

Note 2 to entry: The English term "likelihood" does not have a direct equivalent in some languages; instead, the equivalent of the term "probability" is often used. However, in English, "probability" is often narrowly interpreted as a mathematical term. Therefore, in risk management terminology, "likelihood" is used with the intent that it should have the same broad interpretation as the term "probability" has in many languages other than English.

3.3.17
exposure
extent to which an *organization* ([3.3.7](#)) and/or *interested party* ([3.3.2](#)) is subject to an *event* ([3.3.11](#))

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.6.1.2, modified — "interested party" has replaced "stakeholder".]

3.3.18
consequence
outcome of an *event* ([3.3.11](#)) affecting *objectives* ([3.1.2](#))

Note 1 to entry: A consequence can have positive or negative, direct or indirect, effects on objectives.

Note 2 to entry: Consequences can be expressed qualitatively or quantitatively.

Note 3 to entry: Any consequence can escalate through cascading and cumulative effects.

3.3.19
probability
measure of the chance of occurrence expressed as a number from 0 to 1, where 0 is impossibility and 1 is absolute certainty

Note 1 to entry: See [3.3.16](#), Note 2 to entry.

[SOURCE: IEC 31010:2019, 3.3, modified — The definition has been modified.]

3.3.20
frequency
number of *events* ([3.3.11](#)) or outcomes per defined unit of time

Note 1 to entry: Frequency can be applied to past events or to potential future events, where it can be used as a measure of *likelihood* ([3.3.16](#))/*probability* ([3.3.19](#)).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.6.1.5]

3.3.21
vulnerability
intrinsic properties of something resulting in susceptibility to a *risk source* ([3.3.10](#)) that can lead to an *event* ([3.3.11](#)) with a *consequence* ([3.3.18](#))

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.6.1.6]

3.3.22
level of risk
magnitude of a *risk* ([3.1.1](#)) or combination of risks, expressed in terms of the combination of *consequences* ([3.3.18](#)) and their *likelihood* ([3.3.16](#))

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.6.1.8]

3.3.23
opportunity
combination of circumstances expected to be favourable to *objectives* ([3.1.2](#))

Note 1 to entry: An opportunity is a positive situation in which gain is likely and over which one has a fair level of control.

Note 2 to entry: An opportunity to one party may pose a *threat* ([3.3.13](#)) to another.

Note 3 to entry: Taking or not taking an opportunity are both sources of *risk* ([3.1.1](#)).

[SOURCE: IEC 31010:2019, 3.2]

3.3.24
risk driver
factor that has a major influence on *risk* (3.1.1)

[SOURCE: IEC 31010:2019, 3.4]

3.3.25
risk evaluation
process of comparing the results of *risk analysis* (3.3.15) with *risk criteria* (3.3.6) to determine whether the *risk* (3.1.1) is acceptable or tolerable

Note 1 to entry: Risk evaluation assists in the decision about *risk treatment* (3.3.32).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1, modified — "and/or its magnitude" has been deleted from the definition.]

3.3.26
risk attitude
organization's (3.3.7) approach to assess and eventually pursue, retain, take or turn away from *risk* (3.1.1)

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.1]

3.3.27
risk appetite
amount and type of *risk* (3.1.1) that an *organization* (3.3.7) is willing to pursue or retain

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.2]

3.3.28
risk tolerance
organization's (3.3.7) or *interested party's* (3.3.2) readiness to bear the *residual risk* (3.3.38) in order to achieve its *objectives* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: Risk tolerance can be influenced by legal or regulatory requirements.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.3, modified — "interested party" has replaced "stakeholder", and "residual risk" has replaced "risk after risk treatment".]

3.3.29
risk aversion
attitude to turn away from *risk* (3.1.1)

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.4]

3.3.30
risk aggregation
combination of a number of *risks* (3.1.1) into one risk to develop a more complete understanding of the overall risk

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.5]

3.3.31
risk acceptance
informed decision to take a particular *risk* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk acceptance can occur without r*isk treatment* (3.3.32) or during the process of risk treatment.

Note 2 to entry: Accepted risks are subject to *monitoring* (3.3.40) and *review* (3.3.41).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.7.1.6]

3.3.32
risk treatment
process to modify *risk* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk treatment can involve:

— avoiding the risk by deciding not to start or continue with the activity that gives rise to the risk;

— taking or increasing risk in order to pursue an *opportunity* (3.3.23);

— removing the *risk source* (3.3.10);

— changing the *likelihood* (3.3.16);

— changing the *consequences* (3.3.18);

— sharing the risk with another party or parties [including contracts and *risk financing* (3.3.36)]; and

— retaining the risk by informed decision.

Note 2 to entry: Risk treatments that deal with negative consequences are sometimes referred to as "risk mitigation", "risk elimination", "risk prevention" and "risk reduction".

Note 3 to entry: Risk treatment can create new risks or modify existing risks.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1]

3.3.33
risk control
measure that maintains and/or modifies *risk* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk controls include, but are not limited to, any process, policy, device, practice, or other conditions and/or actions which maintain and/or modify risk.

Note 2 to entry: Risk controls do not always exert the intended or assumed modifying effect.

3.3.34
risk avoidance
informed decision not to be involved in, or to withdraw from, an activity in order not to be exposed to a particular *risk* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk avoidance can be based on the result of *risk evaluation* (3.3.25) and/or legal and regulatory obligations.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.2]

3.3.35
risk sharing
form of *risk treatment* (3.3.32) involving the agreed distribution of *risk* (3.1.1) with other parties

Note 1 to entry: Legal or regulatory requirements can limit, prohibit or mandate risk sharing.

Note 2 to entry: Risk sharing can be carried out through insurance or other forms of contract.

Note 3 to entry: The extent to which risk is distributed can depend on the reliability and clarity of the sharing arrangements.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.3, modified — Note 4 to entry has been deleted.]

3.3.36
risk financing
form of *risk treatment* (3.3.32) involving contingent arrangements for the provision of funds to meet or modify the financial *consequences* (3.3.18) should they occur

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.4]

3.3.37
risk retention
acceptance of the potential benefit of gain, or burden of loss, from a particular *risk* (3.1.1)

Note 1 to entry: Risk retention includes the acceptance of *residual risks* (3.3.38).

Note 2 to entry: The *level of risk* (3.3.22) retained can depend on *risk criteria* (3.3.6).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.5]

3.3.38
residual risk
risk (3.1.1) remaining after *risk treatment* (3.3.32)

Note 1 to entry: Residual risk can contain unidentified risk.

Note 2 to entry: Residual risk can also be known as "retained risk".

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.6]

3.3.39
resilience
adaptive capacity of an *organization* (3.3.7) in a complex and changing environment

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.1.7]

3.3.40
monitoring
continual checking, supervising, critically observing or determining the status in order to identify change from the performance level required or expected

Note 1 to entry: Monitoring can be applied to a risk management framework, *risk management process* (3.3.1), *risk* (3.1.1) or *risk control* (3.3.33).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.2.1, modified — "risk control" has replaced "control" in Note 1 to entry.]

3.3.41
review
activity undertaken to determine the suitability, adequacy and effectiveness of the subject matter to achieve established *objectives* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: Review can be applied to a risk management framework, *risk management process* (3.3.1), *risk* (3.1.1) or *risk control* (3.3.33).

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.2.2, modified — "risk control" has replaced "control" in Note 1 to entry.]

3.3.42
risk reporting
form of communication intended to inform particular internal or external *interested party* (3.3.2) by providing information regarding the current state of *risk* (3.1.1) and its management

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.2.3, modified — "interested party" has replaced "stakeholder".]

3.3.43
risk management audit
systematic, independent and documented process for obtaining evidence and evaluating it objectively in order to determine the extent to which the risk management framework, or any selected part of it, is adequate and effective

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 73:2009, 3.8.2.6]

Bibliography

[1]   ISO 31000:2018, *Risk management — Guidelines*

[2]   ISO Guide 73:2009, *Risk management — Vocabulary*

[3]   ISO/IEC Guide 51, *Safety aspects — Guidelines for their inclusion in standards*

[4]   IEC 31010:2019, *Risk management — Risk assessment techniques*

Index

This page has been left blank intentionally

Amendments Issued Since Publication

Amend No.	Date of Issue	Text Affected