Annex 18 Comparison of various Ultrafine Materials

Chemical Properties

						Table-1
No.	Item	Silica	Ultrafine	Metakaolin	Ultrafine	Remarks
		fume	ggbs		fly ash	
1	SiO ₂ percent by mass (min)	85	-	-	35	Essentially there are two types of basic materials used in
2	Moisture content by mass (max)	3.0	3.0	-	2.0	
3	Loss on ignition percent by mass	4.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	production of Ultrafine
	(max)					materials
4	Alkalies as Na ₂ O (equva) (max)	1.5	-	1.5	1.5	Pozzolanic
5	$SiO_2 + AI_2O_3$ percent by mass	-	-	94.0	-	Hydraulic
	(min)					Silica fume and Ultrafine fly ash
6	SiO ₂ /AlO ₃ percent by mass (min)	-	-	1.15	-	fall in first category while
7	Fc ₂ O ₃ +TiO ₂ percent by mass	-	-	3.0	-	Metakaolin and Ultrafine ggbs
	(max)					fall in second category.
8	Al ₂ O ₃ percent by mass (min)	-	-	40.0	-	
9	Manganese Oxide (MnO) percent	-	5.5	-	-	Chemical composition of both
	by (max)					types of basic materials will be
10	Magnesium Oxide (MgO) percent	-	17	-	5.0	different.
	(max)					
11	Sulphide sulphur (max)	-	2.0	-	-	However, following parameters can be compared. a) Loads on ignition b) Moisture content
12	Sulfide as SO₃	-	3.0	-	3.0	
13	Insoluble Residue (max)	-	3.0	-	-	
14	Chloride content (max)	-	0.1	-	0.05	
15	CaO + MgO + 1/3 Al2O3 (min)	-	-	-	-	c) Chloride
	SiO2+2/3 Al2O3					d) Alkalles
15	$\frac{Ca0 + Mg0 + \frac{1}{3}Al_2O_3}{SiO_2 + \frac{2}{3}Al_2O_2}$ (min)	-	1	-	-	
16	$\frac{CaO + MgO + Al_2O_3}{ciO}$ (min)	-	1	-	-	1
17	$3i0_2$ SiO ₂ +Al ₂ O ₃ +Fc ₂ O ₃ (min)	-	-	-	70	

Physical properties of Ultrafine Materials

						Table-2
No	Item	Silica	Ultrafine	Metakaolin	Ultrafine	Remarks
		fume	ggbs		fly ash	
1	Surface area m ² /kg (BET	15000	1500	9000	1500	For Ultrafine fly ash
	method)					replacement level is 10%
2	Oversize retained on 45-micron	10	1.5	-	5	while for other materials, it is
	sieve (wet sieving)					50%. Therefore the figures are
3	D _{50 (} micron)	-	5	-	7	different for activity index.
4	D90 (micron)	-	-	-	15	
5	D95 (micron)	-	15	-	-	
6	Activity Index					
	7 days (%)	85	60	100	95	
	28 days (%)	-	75	-	110	

Dear Mr. Chaudhary,

Sub: Comparison of Ultrafine materials

Kindly recall P-1 meeting held on 09.07.2024. it was decided in the meeting that a comparison of physical and chemical characteristics of all Ultrafine materials will be made.

These are 3 Ultrafine materials of which IS Codes have already been made viz silica fume, Metakaolin and Ultrafine ggbs. The fourth material in line is Ultrafine fly ash.

I have compared the chemical and physical characteristics of all 4 Ultrafine materials as given in Table-1 and Table-2 respectively.

It will be seen that there are two types of basic materials from which Ultrafine materials are derived viz Pozzolanic and Hydroulic. Silica fume and Ultrafine fly ash are pozzolanic while Metakaolin and Ultrafine ggbs are hydraulic. The chemical properties therefore will differ, however following four items shall be comparable.

- a) Loss on ignition
- b) Moisture content
- c) Total chlorides
- d) Alkalis as Na₂O equivalent.

As regards physical properties, silica fume is industrial by-product (without any processing) therefore, its fineness is as per material generated as by-product. Metakaolin is basically derived from kaoline, a clayey material (softer in nature) and its fineness is specified accordingly. Ultrafine fly ash is produced through classification route and its fineness is comparable to Ultrafine slag.

The activity index of other materials (except Ultrafine fly ash) is done as per IS 1727 by 50% replacement of material with OPC, while in case of Ultrafine fly ash it is done by replacing 10% OPC.

In fact, Ultrafine materials are used in the range of 5% to 8% of cementitious material in concrete and 10% replacement is more logical for Ultrafine materials.