Annex B Manak Manthan report on IS 17752:2022

Page 7,Table 2 Reinforcement details of the cone section not provided for 1000mm	Missing Details to be provided	The Committee agreed to the suggestion and decided that an amendment to the effect may be prepared.
Annexure D, Fig 13, Page No 17 - Figure of Cone Sections are wrongly mentioned as Riser Section	Editorial Correction	The Committee agreed to the suggestion and decided that an amendment to the effect may be prepared.
Annex B Figure 8	The dimension 1879 seems wrong.	The Committee agreed to the suggestion and decided that an amendment to the effect may be prepared.
Annex C Figure 11	In annex C it is mentioned that a min 100 mm projection shall be provided beyond the finished surface of the manhole wall, however, the same dimension is mentioned as 150 in Fig 11, it should be 100 mm (min) instead of 150	The Committee pointed out that the fig denoted the typical values only. However, the Committee decided that we may review the figure during the revision.
Clause no 9	Method of Sealing joints is not given and Testing of Sealed joints is not given	The Committee decided that we may consider the suggestion during the next revision.
General	Drop Manhole details not given	The Committee felt that the suggestion was beyond the scope of the standard
page no 17	1st diagram there is cone section diagram which is incorrectly shown as riser section	The comment has already been discussed and decision taken
Clause 6.3	1. Pulverized fuel ash, in India actual at power plant are confirm to IS 3812 Part 2, However, in Cement Industry they are grinding flyash and bring to IS 3812 Part 1 whereas in site, ,it is difficult for small site owner to grind flyash to IS 3812(Part 1). Hence, referred standard should be corrected as IS3812 part 2	The Committee pointed out that only fly ash as per IS 3812 Part 1 is suitable to be used in concrete making and decided that no change was required.
Clause 6.6(a)	2. It should be removed as mild steel should not be used.	The Committee noted that the provision has been provide in line with other similar standards.

The Committee noted that the first two comments