

Revision of IS 6229:1980 – ALPS Comments

In view of the call for comments over revision of IS 6229:1980, based on the source of the requirements of the standard, the following are observations made by ALPS:

1. Superseding of ANSI S3.19-1974

As stated in BIS and ANSI records, the IS 6229:1980 standard was prepared with assistance from ANSI S3.19-1974. This ANSI standard had been superseded and replaced by ANSI S12.6-1984 (currently ANSI/ASA S12.6-2016, re-affirmed in 2020).

(Reference <https://blog.ansi.org/2017/08/measuring-noise-reduction-hearing-protective-ansi-epa/>)

2. Highlighting View of USA experts on ANSI S3.19 and ANSI S12.6

View on Noise Reduction Rating (NRR) derived from the ANSI S3.19-1974 standard, as per the 2009 US EPA proposal. (Reference <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2009-08-05/pdf/FR-2009-08-05.pdf> - Page 272 onwards).

- i. Poor correlation between the labelled NRR on hearing protectors tested as per ANSI S3.19-1974, and actual attenuation realized by wearers. Public organizations like OSHA do not take NRR values at face value and perform a “de-rating” to derive more accurate attenuation values from the stated NRR.
- ii. NRR based on ANSI S3.19– 1974, can result in unrealistically high sound reductions that are generally not attainable in real world use. This can result in users being under-protected and subject to potential hearing damage.
- iii. ANSI/ASA S12.6 for Hearing protectors is believed to yield data that more closely mirrors the “real world” effectiveness of hearing protector devices.
- iv. Specifically, testing as per ANSI/ASA S12.6 ‘*Method A*’ (trained-subject fit) in “*passive mode*” is recommended in the proposal due to less variability in its results than other methods. Method A is similar to the ISO 4869–1 test standard.

3. Research published by 3M in conjunction with US EPA findings.

Report on Hearing protector ratings - prepared in 2004 for the US EPA by 3M and approved by ANSI S12/WG11. (Reference <https://multimedia.3m.com/mws/media/893205O/a-new-hearing-protector-rating.pdf>)

The report provides recommendations for labelling as per the 2 methods in ANSI/ASA S12.6. It also finds that attenuation ratings as per ANSI S3.19 correlate the worst with real-world data.